



Staff: DR.ARYA C A

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Session	Name: Feedback 2023 December
Session	Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023
Users C	ompleted: 47

				_
Overall	Score	:	79	.2:

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	53.19%	443.75
	1. Sabject tellowleage	Good	14.13	10	21.28%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	5	10.64%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	5	10.64%	17.65
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.26%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	28	59.57%	406
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	17.02%	94.48
		Fair	8.11	3	6.38%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	5	10.64%	10.05
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	6.38%	12.27
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	55.32%	195
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	10	21.28%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	2	4.26%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	7	14.89%	7.91
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.26%	4.42
	A Assessing to 9/ of classes NOT	Less than 10	5.85	26	55.32%	152.1
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	14.89%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	7	14.89%	13.37
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	14.89%	11.48
1		No	4.00	34	72.34%	136
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	7	14.89%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	12.77%	9.9
2	1 7 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	Excellent	9.25	26	55.32%	240.5
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	11	23.4%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	7	14.89%	17.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	6.38%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	35	74.47%	166.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	5	10.64%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	14.89%	9.8
	2.8	Yes	6.88	31	65.96%	213.28
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	10	21.28%	38.9
		No	0.74	4	8.51%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	4.26%	3.56
	171 : 61	Pleasant	6.75	33	70.21%	222.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	6.38%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	7	14.89%	8.96
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	8.51%	7.76
		Sincere	11.50	34	72.34%	391
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	7	14.89%	9.31
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	12.77%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	(O DE L' CE L'	Excellent	11.25	26	55.32%	292.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	10	21.28%	90.3
	A COLLEGE	Fair	6.46	5	10.64%	32.3
	THE WAL COLLEGE OF ENGLE	Poor	1.56	5	10.64%	7.8
		. 501	1.00	-		1.00

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

ANDCIDE THIRWANAMS





Staff: DR.ABHILASH S.S.

Subject: EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Overall Scor	Total Mark
1	I Subject Vessuladas	Excellent	17.75	40	83.33%	710
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	5		70.65
		Fair	10.28	2		20.56
		Poor	3.53	1	2.08%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2 Clarity and Understanding of tanahars Evaluations	Excellent	14.50	39	81.25%	565.5
	Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	7	14.58%	82.67
-1		Fair	8.11	1	2.08%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	2.08%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	75%	270
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	8	16.67%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	4	8.33%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	-	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	_	0
	A Ai	Less than 10	5.85	36		210.6
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	_	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	2		3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	_	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44		176
		Yes	0.67	2		1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	2		3.3
2	177 1 179 1	Excellent	9.25	39		360.7
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	7	_	44.38
		Inadequate	2.50	1	_	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1		3.16
	2 51-5	Just Right	4.75	43		204.2
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2		2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	3		4.2
	2. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	41		282.0
×1,	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3	0% 81.25% 14.58% 2.08% 2.08% 0% 75%	11.67
- 3		No	0.74	2	4.17%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	_	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	45		303.7
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2		5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.08%	1.94
	5 Cincerity of the tenshor	Sincere	11.50	45	93.75%	517.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.17%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1		5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	_	0
	(O	Excellent	11.25	40	_	450
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	6		54.18
	A CHILEGE	Fair	6.46	1	_	6.46
	THRUMAL CULLEGE OF ENGINE	Poor	1.56	0	4.17% 2.08% 0% 81.25% 14.58% 2.08% 2.08% 0% 75% 16.67% 8.33% 0% 75% 8.33% 4.17% 12.5% 91.67% 4.17% 81.25% 14.58% 2.08% 2.08% 6.25% 4.17% 4.17% 93.75% 4.17% 93.75% 4.17% 93.75% 4.17% 93.75% 4.17% 93.75% 4.17% 93.75% 4.17% 0% 2.08% 93.75% 4.17% 0% 2.08% 93.75% 4.17% 0% 2.08% 0%	0
	SHIP AC	Can't Judge	2.03	1	_	2.03

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: BINDHU BERNARD

Subject: EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed : 47 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Overall Scot	Total Mark
1		Excellent	17.75	35	74.47%	621.25
1	Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	10		141.3
		Fair	10.28	1		10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	_	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0		0
		Excellent	14.50	29	_	420.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	_	177.15
		Fair	8.11	2		16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	-	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0		0
			7.50	32		240
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	5.71	_		_
		Good		11		62.81
		Fair	4.04	2	_	8.08
		Poor	1.13	2		2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0		0 .
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	_	198.9
	230	10 to 25	3.66	6	_	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	2		3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5		8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	34		136
		Yes	0.67	10	_	6.7
_		Can't Judge	1.65	3		4.95
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	31		286.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	14		88.76
		Inadequate	2.50	1		2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1		3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	39	61.7% 31.91% 4.26% 2.13% 0% 68.09% 23.4% 4.26% 4.26% 10.64% 72.34% 21.28% 6.38% 65.96% 29.79% 2.13% 82.98% 10.64% 6.38% 78.72% 4.26% 80.85% 14.89% 2.13% 2.13% 78.72% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64% 10.64%	185.25
		Too Fast	1.34	5		6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	3		4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	37	21.28% 2.13% 2.13% 0% 61.7% 31.91% 4.26% 2.13% 0% 68.09% 23.4% 4.26% 4.26% 12.77% 4.26% 10.64% 6.38% 65.96% 29.79% 2.13% 82.98% 10.64% 6.38% 78.72% 4.26% 4.26% 80.85% 14.89% 2.13% 78.72% 10.64% 70.21%	254.56
		Some Times	3.89	6		23.34
		No	0.74	2	_	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	_	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	38	80.85%	256.5
		Indifferent	2.78	7	_	19.46
		Unpleasant	1.28	l	2.13%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.13%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	37	78.72%	425.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	5	10.64%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	10.64%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	33	70.21%	371.25
	o. Gretan reaching enectiveness	Good	9.03	8	17.02%	72.24
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	5		32.3
	THE STATE OF THE S	Poor	1.56	1		1.56
	(B) (B)	Can't Judge	2.03	0		0

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

SAMEROE, THIRWVANAM





Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	36	76.6%	639
	The state of the s	Good	14.13	9	19.15%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	2	4.26%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	32	68.09%	464
	2. Clarky and Charles and and Charles Explanations	Good	11.81	10	21.28%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	2	4.26%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	3	6.38%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	34	72.34%	255
	3. reaches willingness to ricip	Good	5.71	10	21.28%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	2	4.26%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	2.13%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	72.34%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	10.64%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.38%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.64%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	87.23%	164
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	8.51%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.26%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	34	72.34%	314.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	11	23.4%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.26%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	37	78.72%	175.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	14.89%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.38%	4.2
O'BRIE	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	39	82.98%	268.32
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	12.77%	23.34
		No	0.74	1	2.13%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.13%	1.78
	4 Polosia of the tracker	Pleasant	6.75	43	91.49%	290.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	8.51%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
		Sincere	11.50	43	91.49%	494.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.38%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.13%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	35	74.47%	393.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness		9.03	8	17.02%	72.24
	O. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	4	8.51%	25.84
	Jan Marie	Pagr	1.56	0	0%	0
	13/ 00	Carl Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 18

Best sir





Staff: DR.ANUP THOMAS

Subject: CY100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed : 48 Question	Option	Mark	Users	Opted %	Total
1		Evcellent	17.75		97 59/	E SUR RESIDEN
	Subject Knowledge					70.65
					_	10.28
					_	
				_	-	
				30.50		-
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	The state of the s			_	
						_
				-	_	
						-
						-
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	292.5			
						45.68
	Λ.					_
				_		_
					-	
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers			_	Presented Steed S7.5% 10.42% 2.08% 0% 83.33% 14.58% 2.08% 0% 0% 0% 81.25% 16.67% 2.08% 0% 0% 77.08% 4.17% 6.25% 2.08% 91.67% 6.25% 2.08% 0% 87.5% 8.33% 4.17% 87.5% 10.42% 2.08% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 0% 93.75% 6.25% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	216.45
						7.32
		THE PARTY OF THE P	1.91	3		5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	12.5%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	91.67%	176
		Yes	0.67	3	6.25%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	2.08%	1.65
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	44	6.25% 2.08% 91.67% 6.25%	407
	Treatment dominy to organize rectare	Satisfactory	6.34	3	2.08% 91.67% 6.25% 2.08%	19.02
	- 1	Inadequate	2.50	1	2.08%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	42	87.5%	199.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	8.33%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.17%	2.8
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	87.5%	288.96
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	10.42%	19.45
		No	0.74	1	2.08%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	A Balantina of the search an			45	93.75%	303.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent		3	6.25%	8.34
				_	0%	-
						-
				_	_	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher			_		2.66
					_	-
		DII-				-
		Excellent				461.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good		_		45.15
	THIN TO IN	For		_		12.92
		Bron				
	1121 200	Can't Judge	2.03	0	_	0

Strength and Weakness

 Would be great if sir could give a 5-10 mins break in bosreer and consecutive chemistry classes
 Engaging classes

PRINCIPAL

Special Remarks
 Sir gives 100% dedication to teaching. Able to understand the concepts clearly. Very caring and loving. Makes the presentation very interactive college of Engineering. and easy to learn.

Trivandrum - 18





Staff: DR. S P VIJI

Subject: MA101 - CALCULAS Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Users Completed: 48

Batch: BTech KTU CS 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 90.76

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	64.58%	550.25
	The stage of the stage	Good	14.13	14	29.17%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	3	6.25%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	64.58%	449.5
	2 clarity and classical angle clarity	Good	11.81	13	27.08%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	3	6.25%	24.33
	2 1 2	Poor	2.01	1	1	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%-	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	72.92%	262.5
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Treip	Good	5.71	9	18.75%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	2	4.17%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	2.08%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.08%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	36	75%	210.6
	4. Approximate 78 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	6.25%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.17%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	14.58%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	85.42%	164
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	10.42%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.17%	3.3
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	33		305.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	27.08%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	2	4.17%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 Speed of agreementation	Just Right	4.75	39	81.25%	185.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	8.33%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	10.42%	7
	2. Does the teacher anguing a questioning	Yes	6.88	37	77.08%	254.56
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	16.67%	31.12
		No	0.74	3	6.25%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	_	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	45	93.75%	303.75
	4. Benavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.17%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1		1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5.61	Sincere	11.50	44		506
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	_	1.33
			5.06	2		10.12
		Blank	4.03	1		4.03
	THE THE PARTY OF T	Excellent	11.25	38		427.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Ociona	9.03	5		45.15
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Karr	6.46	5	_	32.3
	1.5/ 619	D. A. III	1.56	0		0
	1121 23116	POOL	1.20	1.0	0.70	


Strength and Weakness

· Clarity of subject

• Nil

Special Remarks

• Nil



• Lot of thanks to sir, for everything. Mechanical is a very interesting subject.



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: HARIKUTTAN K.

Subject: EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 Session Id: TE-SN1813-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K22 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Hears Completed : 30 Overell Same . 79 45

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	16	53.33%	284
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	11	36.67%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	1	3.33%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	2	6.67%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	53.33% 36.67% 3.33%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	12	40%	174
	2. Clarky and Charlestanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	7	23.33%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	6	20%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	4	13.33%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	Opted % 53.33% 36.67% 3.33% 6.67% 0% 40% 23.33% 20% 13.33% 50% 23.33% 23.33% 0% 60% 10% 6.67% 23.33% 73.33% 6.67% 20% 10% 73.33% 20% 10% 73.33% 70% 10% 6.67% 13.33% 70% 10% 6.67% 13.33% 6.67% 10% 73.33% 70% 10% 16.67% 3.33% 70% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	15		112.5
	Transfer of the party of the pa	Good	5.71	7		39.97
		Fair	4.04	7	23.33%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	1	3.33%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	18	60%	105.3
	1. Approximate 70 of chances 1101 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	10%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	2	6.67%	3.82
	*	Can't Judge	1.64	7	23.33%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	22	73.33%	88
	2. Whether the tellers dietales notes only	Yes	0.67	2	6.67%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	20%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	46.67%	129.5
	1. Teachers domey to organize rectain	Satisfactory	6.34	7	3.33% 6.67% 0% 40% 23.33% 20% 13.33% 50% 23.33% 23.33% 6.67% 23.33% 73.33% 6.67% 20% 46.67% 23.33% 3.33% 70% 10% 73.33% 6.67% 10% 73.33% 6.67% 10% 73.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	44.38
		Inadequate	2.50	6		15
		Can't Judge	3.16	3		9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	22		104.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1		1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	23.33%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	21	70%	144.48
	5. Does the teacher checurage questioning	Some Times	3.89	4	13.33%	15.56
		No	0.74	3	10%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	6.67%	3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	22	73.33%	148.5
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	6.67%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	6.67%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	13.33%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	21	70%	241.5
	3. Sheerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	10%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	53.33% 36.67% 3.33% 6.67% 0% 40% 23.33% 50% 13.33% 50% 23.33% 23.33% 0% 60% 10% 6.67% 23.33% 73.33% 6.67% 20% 46.67% 23.33% 70% 10% 73.33% 6.67% 10% 73.33% 70% 10% 13.33% 6.67% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	25.3
		Blank	4.03	1		4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	14		157.5
	o. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	8	26.67%	72.24
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	F Fight	6.46	1	53.33% 36.67% 3.33% 6.67% 0% 40% 23.33% 50% 13.33% 50% 23.33% 3.33% 6.67% 23.33% 6.67% 23.33% 73.33% 6.67% 20% 10% 73.33% 70% 13.33% 70% 10% 73.33% 10% 6.67% 13.33% 10% 10% 73.33% 23.33% 70% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13.33% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	6.46
	THI	Rook	1.56	6	20%	9.36
	118/ 00	Carry hidge	2.03	1	3.33%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

nothingg

• I feel that sir should use a mic. His voice does not have the clarify and does not reach all the way till the very back of the class. Maybe he should teach by going through the text, as we don genseparate notes

Special Remarks

· teaching style unappropriate





Staff: DONA SEBASTIAN

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 Session Id: TE-SN1813-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K22 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

ection	Completed : 30 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	90%	479.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	3	10%	42.39
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	26	86.67%	377
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	4	13.33%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	90% 10% 0% 0% 0% 0% 86.67% 13.33% 0% 0% 0% 83.33% 16.67% 0% 83.33% 0% 13.33% 80% 6.67% 13.33% 86.67% 13.33% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 93.33% 0% 96.67% 0% 93.33% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 96.67% 0% 0% 96.67% 0% 0% 96.67% 0% 0% 96.67% 0% 0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	25	83.33%	187.5
	3. reachers willingness to ricip	Good	5.71	5	16.67%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	83.33%	146.25
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1001 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	3.33%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	13.33%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	24	80%	96
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	6.67%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	13.33%	6.6
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	26	86.67%	240.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	4	13.33%	25.36
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	86.67% 13.33% 0% 0% 96.67%	137.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	3.33%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	28	93.33%	192.64
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	6.67%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	29	96.67%	195.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	3.33%	1.94
	£ Simonity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	29	96.67%	333.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	_	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0		0
		Blank	4.03	0	_	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	_	270
		Good	9.03	6		54.18
	and Gull Fig.	Fair	6.46	0		0
	THROUGH CULLEGE OF ENGINE	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	1/3/	Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

very friendly

· Lot of thanks to ma'am.

Special Remarks

friendly





Staff: SHIFA N

Subject: EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 Session Id: TE-SN1813-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K22 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	93.33%	497
	1. Subject Kilowicuge	Good	14.13	2	6.67%	28.26
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	Mark Users Opted 17.75 28 93. 14.13 2 6.6 10.28 0 0% 3.53 0 0% 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0	0%	0	
		Can't Judge	8.00	Opted Opted % 28 93.33% 2 6.67% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 1 3.33% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0%	0	
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	83.33%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	5	16.67%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	90%	202.5
	J. Tellerior Willinghess to Treep	Good	5.71	3	10%	17.13
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	86.67%	152.1
	1. reprovining 70 or classes 1101 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	4	13.33%	6.56
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	26	86.67%	104
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	- 0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	13.33%	6.6
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	90%	249.7
	1. Teachers ability to organize rectare	Satisfactory	6.34	3	10%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	30	100%	142.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	29	96.67%	199.52
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	1	3.33%	3.89
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	30	100%	202.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	30	100%	345
	3. Sheerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	80%	270
	Culter by	Good	9.03	6	20%	54.18
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
	The same of the sa	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	15 Miles 18	Can't Judge		0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

· goood teaching

. Thank you ma'am for making Civil Eng

Special Remarks

· notes are nice





Staff: DR.MISHA M S

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 Session Id: TE-SN1813-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K22 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	76.47%	461.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	8	23.53%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	73.53%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of leachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	26.47%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	0	Ped Opted % 176.47% 23.53% 0% 0% 0% 26.47% 0% 0% 79.41% 14.71% 5.88% 0% 76.47% 2.94% 17.65% 82.35% 17.65% 0% 97.06% 2.94% 0% 88.24% 8.82% 2.94% 0% 97.06% 2.94% 0% 0% 97.06% 2.94% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	79.41%	202.5
	3. reachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	5	14.71%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	2		8.08
		Poor	1.13	0		0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0		0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	76.47%	152.1
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1		3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1		1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	6		9.84
	5 Whathar the teachers distates notes only	No	4.00	28	82.35%	112
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1		0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	5		8.25
2	1 Tanahara shilitu ta arganiza lastura	Excellent	9.25	28		259
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	6		38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	0		0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	25 16	Just Right	4.75	33		156.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1		1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0		0
	2.5	Yes	6.88	30	_	206.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3		11.67
		No	0.74	1	-	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	ADA : 64	Pleasant	6.75	33	97.06%	222.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	2.94%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5.61	Sincere	11.50	34	100%	391
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	(O UT Limited States	Excellent	11.25	29	85.29%	326.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness		9.03	4	_	36.12
	THRUVAL CULLEGE BE FAIG	Fair	6.46	1		6.46
	AHRUM MA	Poor	1.56	0		0
	12	Tan't Judge	2.03	0	-	0

the next sems as well.

Strength and Weakness

pleasant

Thank you ma'am for everything, really would be the second of the s

Special Remarks

· friendly





Staff: RAJI V.V

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 Session Id: TE-SN1813-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 33

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K22 A Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 82 54

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	14	42.42% 42.42% 15.15% 0% 0% 0% 33.33% 45.45% 18.18% 3.03% 0% 39.39% 27.27% 3.03% 0% 72.73% 12.12% 0% 15.15% 45.45% 45.45% 45.45% 9.09% 45.45% 9.09% 30.3% 60.61% 36.36% 3.03% 60.61% 24.24% 12.12% 3.03% 60.61% 3.03%	248.5
	1. Subject Kilowieuge	Good	14.13	14	42.42%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	5	15.15%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	11	33.33%	159.5
	2. Clarity and Cituerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	45.45%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	6	18.18%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	1	3.03%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	10	30.3%	75
	J. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	13	39.39%	74.23
		Fair	4.04	9	27.27%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	1	3.03%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	24	72.73%	140.4
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1101 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	12.12%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
	"	Can't Judge	1.64	5	42.42% 42.42% 15.15% 0% 0% 33.33% 45.45% 18.18% 3.03% 0% 30.3% 39.39% 27.27% 3.03% 0% 72.73% 12.12% 0% 15.15% 45.45% 45.45% 45.45% 9.09% 45.45% 45.45% 9.09% 0% 87.88% 9.09% 3.03% 60.61% 36.36% 3.03% 60.61% 36.36% 3.03% 84.85% 0% 12.12% 3.03% 84.85% 0% 12.12% 3.03% 84.85%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	24		96
	2. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	4	12.12%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	15.15%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	15	45.45%	138.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	15	45.45%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	3	9.09%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	87.88%	137.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	9.09%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	3.03%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	20	60.61%	137.6
	5. Does the teacher cheothage questioning	Some Times	3.89	12	36.36%	46.68
		No	0.74	1	3.03%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	20	60.61%	135
	The state of the state of	Indifferent	2.78	- 8	24.24%	22.24
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	12.12%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	3.03%	1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	28	84.85%	322
	5. Sincerity of the feacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	12.12%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	1	3.03%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	12	36.36%	135
	THIS CO.	Good	9.03	14	42.42%	126.42
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	6	18.18%	38.76
	13	Poor	1.56	1	3.03%	1.56
	() [() () () () () () () () () () () () ()	Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

· didnt covered all portions

 didnt covered an position
 We really feel it's hard to approach making even appreciate her a lot for that. Lots of thanks to you, making appreciate her a lot for that. Lots of thanks to you, making the property of the power of the an our doubts. Also, ma'am is funny and keeps the class always active, I

good

De Chura Thirunas College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 18





Staff: BINDHU BERNARD

Subject: EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 Session Id: TE-SN1813-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K22 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed : 34 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1 0 12 12	Excellent	17.75	26	76.47%	461.5
	Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	6		84.78
		Fair	10.28	2		20.56
		Poor	3.53	0		0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	_	0
		Excellent	14.50	25	76.47% 76.47% 17.65% 5.88% 0% 0% 0% 73.53% 20.59% 5.88% 0% 0% 82.35% 14.71% 2.94% 0% 70.59% 8.82% 0% 20.59% 82.35% 11.76% 82.35% 17.65% 0% 94.12% 2.94% 2.94% 88.24% 11.76% 0% 88.24% 11.76% 0% 94.12% 2.94%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	7		82.67
		Fair	8.11	2		16.22
		Poor	2.01	0		0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0		0
		Excellent	7.50	28		210
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	5	76.47% 17.65% 5.88% 0% 0% 0% 73.53% 20.59% 5.88% 0% 0% 82.35% 14.71% 2.94% 0% 70.59% 8.82% 0% 20.59% 8.82% 0% 20.59% 8.82% 0% 17.65% 0% 20.59% 82.35% 11.76% 82.35% 11.76% 82.35% 11.76% 82.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 88.35% 11.76% 0% 88.35% 11.76% 0% 88.35% 11.76% 0% 88.35% 11.76% 0% 88.35% 11.76% 0% 88.35%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1		4.04
		Poor	1.13	0		0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0		0
		Less than 10	5.85	24	_	140.4
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	_	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	7		11.48
		No	4.00	28	_	112
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2		1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	_	6.6
2	1 Total and Million and State of State	Excellent	9.25	28		259
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	6		38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	0		0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0		0
	3.6.1.6	Just Right	4.75	32	_	152
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1		1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	1		1.4
	3 D - 0 - 1 - 1	Yes	6.88	30	_	206.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	4	-	15.56
		No	0.74	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	_	0
	4 D 1 64 1	Pleasant	6.75	29		195.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3		8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2		3.88
	E. Cinnanita afaba sanahan	Sincere	11.50	32		368
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	_	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0		0
		Blank	4.03	1	_	4.03
	6 Overall Touching official	Excellent	11.25	25	_	281.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	8		72.24
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	1	2.94%	6.46
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	13/ 2016 13	Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

nothing

gerything, for understanding and for being kind to u · Ma'am, we wish you would be more MANGODE THIRINGHAMAN

Special Remarks

· can be more friendly



Staff: DR.NIJU P

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31		550.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	9	19.57%	127.17
	× ×	Fair	10.28	5	10.87%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.17%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	54.35%	362.5
	2. Charty and Charleman of reactions Explanations	Good	11.81	13	28.26%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	6	13.04%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	0	67.39% 19.57% 10.87% 0% 2.17% 54.35% 28.26% 13.04% 0% 4.35% 60.87% 28.26% 8.7% 0% 2.17% 39.13% 19.57% 10.87% 30.43% 73.91% 13.04% 54.35% 34.78% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 71.74% 13.04% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.35%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	67.39% 19.57% 10.87% 0% 2.17% 54.35% 28.26% 13.04% 0% 4.35% 60.87% 28.26% 8.7% 0% 2.17% 39.13% 19.57% 10.87% 30.43% 73.91% 13.04% 54.35% 34.78% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 71.74% 13.04% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 2.17% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 6.52% 80.43% 8.7% 8.7% 8.7% 8.7% 8.7% 8.7% 8.7% 8.7	210
	2. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	13		74.23
		Fair	4.04	4	8.7%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.17%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	18	39.13%	105.3
	4. Approximate 78 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	9	19.57%	32.94
		More than 25	1.91	5	10.87%	9.55
		Can't Judge	1.64	14	30.43%	22.96
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	34	73.91%	136
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	73.91% 13.04% 13.04% 54.35%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	13.04%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	25	54.35%	231.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	34.78%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	4	8.7%	10
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.17%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	37	80.43%	175.75
	2. Speed of prescritation	Too Fast	1.34	5	10.87%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	8.7%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	33	71.74%	227.04
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	13.04%	23.34
		No	0.74	4	8.7%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.52%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	37	80.43%	249.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	8.7%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.17%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	8.7%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	89.13%	471.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2		2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	4.35%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	1		4.03
	6 Overall Tarabing effectives	Excellent	11.25	28	_	315
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	14	_	126.42
	THEUNAL GULLEGE WE THINK	Fair	6.46	3	_	19.38
	WANHAT COLLEGE	Poor	1.56	1	_	1.56
	ANTHOUGH TO THE	Can't Judge	2.03	0	_	0

Strength and Weakness

cx

Special Remarks

ff





Subject: PHT110 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS B

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	27	58.7%	479.25
	1. Subject tellowledge	Good	14.13	14	30.43%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	4	8.7%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.17%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20	43.48%	290
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	28.26%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	8	17.39%	64.88
		Poor	2.01	3	6.52%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.35%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	21	45.65%	157.5
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	14	30.43%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	6	13.04%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	3	6.52%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.35%	4.42
	I A C I NOT II I I	Less than 10	5.85	30	65.22%	175.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	8.7%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.52%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	19.57%	14.76
		No	4.00	33	71.74%	132
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	8	17.39%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	10.87%	8.25
2		Excellent	9.25	23	50%	212.75
4	Teachers ability to organize lecture		6.34	16	34.78%	101.44
		Satisfactory		_		_
		Inadequate	2.50	3	8.7%	10
		Can't Judge	3.16	_	6.52%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	63.04%	137.75
		Too Fast	1.34	8	17.39%	10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40	9	19.57%	12.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	28	60.87%	192.64
		Some Times	3.89	13	28.26%	50.57
		No	0.74	4	8.7%	2.96
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.17%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	26	56.52%	175.5
		Indifferent	2.78	8	17.39%	22.24
		Unpleasant	1.28	5	10.87%	6.4
		Can't Judge	1.94	7	15.22%	13.58
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	36	78.26%	414
	The second secon	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.52%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	6.52%	15.18
	and the second second	Blank	4.03	4	8.7%	16.12
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	54.35%	281.2
	THIND	Good	9.03	14	30.43%	126.42
	18/ 00	Fair	6.46	4	8.7%	25.84
	13/ 25/62	Poor	1.56	2	4.35%	3.12
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Can't Judge	2.03	1	2.17%	2.03

BARRETON, THIRWVANAME

Strength and Weakness

hxdhhs

Special Remarks

dghejsjsjs





Staff: DR.SUMESH C.K.

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	33	71.74%	585.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	8	17.39%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	3	6.52%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.35%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	29	Opted Opted % 33 71.74% 8 17.39% 3 6.52% 0 0% 2 4.35% 29 63.04% 14 30.43% 1 2.17% 0 0% 2 4.35% 31 67.39% 11 23.91% 2 4.35% 32 69.57% 4 8.7% 1 2.17% 9 19.57% 36 78.26% 6 13.04% 4 8.7% 32 69.57% 10 21.74% 3 6.52% 1 2.17% 41 89.13% 2 4.35% 3 6.52% 3 6.52% 3 6.52% 3 6.52% 3 6.52% 3 6.52%	420.5
	2. Clarity and Chacistanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	30.43%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	1	2.17%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.35%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	67.39%	232.5
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	11	23.91%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	2	4.35%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.35%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	32	69.57%	187.2
	4. Approximate % of classes from engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	8.7%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.17%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	19.57%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	36	78.26%	144
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	13.04%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	8.7%	6.6
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	69.57%	296
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	10	21.74%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	3	6.52%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.17%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	89.13%	194.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	4.35%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.52%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	35	76.09%	240.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	17.39%	31.12
		No	0.74	2	4.35%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	2.17%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	39	84.78%	263.25
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	6.52%	8.34
	X.	Unpleasant	1.28	1-	2.17%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	6.52%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	86.96%	460
	5. Sincerny of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.35%	2.66
	8.4	Unable to Judge	5.06	4	8.7%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	31	67.39%	348.75
	o. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	23.91%	99.33
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Fair	6.46	3	17.39% 6.52% 0% 4.35% 63.04% 30.43% 2.17% 0% 4.35% 67.39% 23.91% 4.35% 69.57% 8.7% 21.17% 19.57% 78.26% 13.04% 8.7% 69.57% 21.74% 6.52% 2.17% 89.13% 4.35% 6.52% 76.09% 17.39% 4.35% 6.52% 2.17% 89.13% 4.35% 6.52% 6.52% 17.39% 84.78% 6.52% 2.17% 89.13% 6.52% 6.52% 17.39% 84.78% 6.52% 6.52% 85.96% 4.35% 6.52% 86.96% 4.35% 6.52% 87% 6.52% 87% 6.52% 88.96% 6.52% 88.96% 88.7%	19.38
	THEORY CHILLEGE OF ENGINE	Poor	1.56	0		0
	CHIM	Can't Judge	2.03	1	_	2.03

MAMCODE, THIN PLANT

Strength and Weakness

rueusus

Special Remarks

hxhsjsbbdjwnxbso





Staff: CARISHMA P

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 81 34

	Completed : 43 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1 Subject Vnoudedes	Excellent	17.75	22	51.16%	390.5
	Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	12	27.91%	169.56
50		Fair	10.28	5	11.63%	51.4
77.7		Poor	3.53	2	4.65%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.65%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	19	44.19%	275.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	32.56%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	6	13.95%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	2	4.65%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.65%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	22	51.16%	165
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	12	27.91%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	5	11.63%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	4	9.3%	8.84
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	58.14%	146.25
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	8	18.6%	29.28
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.65%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	18.6%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	27	62.79%	108
		Yes	0.67	11	25.58%	7.37
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	11.63%	8.25
2	1. Tanahan akilita ta amanina laatuus	Excellent	9.25	21	48.84%	194.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	14	32.56%	88.76
		Inadequate	2.50	4	9.3%	10
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	9.3%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	32	74.42%	152
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	9.3%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	16.28%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	62.79%	185.76
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	20.93%	35.01
		No	0.74	3	6.98%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	9.3%	7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	35	81.4%	236.25
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.65%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.33%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	11.63%	9.7
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	32	74.42%	368
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.33%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	5	11.63%	25.3
		Blank	4.03	5	11.63%	20.15
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	24	55.81%	270
		Good	9.03	11	25.58%	99.33
	a Lutteria	Fair	6.46	6	13.95%	38.76
	The the state of the Contine	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	12/	an't Judge	2.03	2	4.65%	4.06

THIR VANAMANTA

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: RESHMI KRISHNAN S

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

O-----II C----

	Completed : 46 Question	Option	Mark	Users	Overall Scor	Total
			3 11001103	Opted		Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	76.09%	621.2
		Good	14.13	9	19.57%	127.1
		Fair	10.28	1	2.17%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.17%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	67.39%	449.5
		Good	11.81	13	28.26%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	1	2.17%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.17%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	34	73.91%	255
		Good	5.71	9	19.57%	51.39
		Fair	4.04	2	4.35%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	2.17%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	30	65.22%	175.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	15.22%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.35%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	15.22%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	35	76.09%	140
		Yes	0.67	9	19.57%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.35%	3.3
2		Excellent	9.25	35	76.09%	323.75
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	19.57%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.17%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.17%	3.16
		Just Right	4.75	39	84.78%	185.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	8.7%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.52%	4.2
		Yes	6.88	40	86.96%	275.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	10.87%	19.45
		No	0.74	1	2.17%	0.74
	54	Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	To have seen as a country of	Pleasant	6.75	39	84.78%	263.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	13.04%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.17%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.28	0	0%	0
				_		-
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	89.13%	471.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	4	8.7%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.17%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	80.43%	416.25
	RUNAL COLLEGE OF EN	Good	9.03	8	17.39%	72.24
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	1	2.17%	6.46
	ME MAN /E	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	15 Fe 20 13	an't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Mancone Televiania

Strength and Weakness

jdjsjs

Special Remarks

hxhsjsjsns





Staff: DR.SMITHA PS

Subject: EST130(B) - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1 Cubicat V noveledge	Excellent	17.75	27		479.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	12	26.09%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	6	13.04%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.17%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	22	58.7% 26.09% 13.04% 0% 2.17% 47.83% 28.26% 15.22% 6.52% 2.17% 50% 32.61% 13.04% 4.35% 0% 73.91% 10.87% 4.35% 10.87% 71.74% 21.74% 6.52% 50% 43.48% 6.52%	319
	2. Clarity and Chderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13		153.53
	_	Fair	8.11	7		56.77
	9 ×	Poor	2.01	3	6.52%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.17%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	23	50%	172.5
	5. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	15	32.61%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	6	Opted % 58.7% 26.09% 13.04% 0% 2.17% 47.83% 28.26% 15.22% 6.52% 2.17% 50% 32.61% 13.04% 4.35% 0% 73.91% 10.87% 4.35% 10.87% 71.74% 21.74% 6.52% 50% 43.48% 6.52%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	2		2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0		0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	73.91%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	10.87% 4.35% 10.87%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2	_	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.87%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	33	71.74%	132
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	10		6.7
	The state of the s	Can't Judge	1.65	3		4.95
2	1 Too door ability to associate leature	Excellent	9.25	23	_	212.75
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	20	_	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	3	_	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 5	Just Right	4.75	36		171
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7		9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	3		4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	_	213.28
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	13	_	50.57
		No	0.74	1		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	_	1.78
	4 Debasies of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	37	_	249.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	-	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	3		3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	3		5.82
	5. Singarity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	36		414
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3		3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6		30.36
		Blank	4.03	1		4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	_	281.25
		Good	9.03	15		135.45
	WAL CULLEGE OF FU	Fair	6.46	6		38.76
	THEOD TO WASHINGTON	Poor	1.56	0		0
	THE WALL COLLEGE OF ENGINEER	Can't Judge	2.03	0		0

THAMEROE, THIRWAND

Strength and Weakness

• hhgh

Special Remarks

gyubgfdhkkk





Staff: GOPIKA GOPAN

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users	Completed : 46				Overall Scor	Carlot Services
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	Pers ted Opted % 8	497
	I. Subject this medge	Good	14.13	13		183.69
		Fair	10.28	2		20.56
		Poor	3.53	2	4.35%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	2.17%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	26	Opted % 1 60.87% 28.26% 4.35% 4.35% 4.35% 21.7% 56.52% 21.74% 15.22% 4.35% 21.77% 56.52% 32.61% 6.52% 21.79% 71.74% 13.04% 4.35% 10.87% 69.57% 21.74% 8.7% 58.79% 34.78% 10.87% 69.57% 21.74% 8.7% 58.79% 34.78% 4.35% 21.77% 78.26% 10.87% 78.26% 10.87% 65.22% 4.35% 21.77% 78.26% 10.87% 65.22% 4.35% 21.79% 84.78% 65.22% 4.35% 21.79% 84.78% 65.22% 4.35% 21.79% 84.78% 65.22% 4.35% 21.79% 84.78% 65.22% 4.35% 21.79% 84.78% 65.22% 4.35% 21.79% 84.78% 65.20% 87.7% 82.60% 87.7	377
	2. Clarity and Chaelstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10		118.1
		Fair	8.11	7		56.77
		Poor	2.01	2		4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	Opted Opted % N 28 60.87% 13 28.26% 2 4.35% 2 4.35% 1 2.17% 26 56.52% 10 21.74% 7 15.22% 2 4.35% 1 2.17% 26 56.52% 15 32.61% 3 6.52% 1 2.17% 1 2.17% 33 71.74% 6 13.04% 2 4.35% 5 10.87% 32 69.57% 10 21.74% 4 8.7% 27 58.7% 16 34.78% 2 2 4.35% 1 2.17% 36 78.26% 5 1 2.17% 36 78.26% 7 15.22% 2 4.35% 2 4.35% 1 2.17% 39 84.78% 3 6.52% 2 4.35% 4 3 6.52% 2	4.09	
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	Opted % 60.87% 28.26% 4.35% 4.35% 56.52% 21.74% 15.22% 4.35% 2.17% 56.52% 32.61% 6.52% 2.17% 71.74% 13.04% 4.35% 10.87% 69.57% 21.74% 8.7% 78.26% 10.87% 10.87% 10.87% 78.26% 10.87% 10.87% 78.26% 10.87%	195
	3. reactions withingless to recip	Good	5.71	15	32.61%	85.65
		Fair	4.04	3	Opted % 60.87% 28.26% 4.35% 4.35% 56.52% 21.74% 15.22% 4.35% 2.17% 56.52% 32.61% 6.52% 2.17% 71.74% 13.04% 4.35% 10.87% 69.57% 21.74% 8.79% 58.79% 21.77% 78.26% 10.87% 78.26% 10.87% 69.57% 21.77% 78.26% 10.87% 69.57% 21.74% 8.79% 58.79% 21.77% 78.26% 10.87% 65.22% 4.35% 2.17% 65.22% 4.35% 2.17% 8.78% 6.52% 4.35% 2.17% 8.78% 6.52% 4.35% 2.17% 8.78% 6.52% 4.35% 2.17% 8.78% 6.52% 4.35% 2.17% 8.78% 6.52% 4.35% 2.17% 8.78% 6.52% 4.35% 6.52% 4.35% 6.52% 4.35% 8.79%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1		1.13
	W.	Can't Judge	2.21	1		2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	33	71.74%	193.05
	4. Approximate 78 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	13.04%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.35%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	10.87%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	32	69.57%	128
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	10 .	21.74%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	8.7%	6.6
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	27	58.7%	249.75
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	69.57% 21.74% 8.7% 58.7% 34.78% 4.35% 2.17%	101.44
		Inadequate	2.50	2		5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.17%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	36	78.26%	171
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	5	10.87%	6.7
	110	Can't Judge	1.40	5	10.87%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	36	78.26%	247.68
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	15.22%	27.23
		No	0.74	2		1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	_	_	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	39		263.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3		8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	2		2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	_		3.88
	5 Singarity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	_	_	460
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33			1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06			20.24
		Blank	4.03	_		4.03
	6 Overall Teaching effective	Excellent	11.25	29		326.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	13	56.52% 21.74% 15.22% 4.35% 2.17% 56.52% 32.61% 6.52% 2.17% 71.74% 13.04% 4.35% 10.87% 69.57% 21.74% 8.7% 34.78% 4.35% 4.35% 2.17% 78.26% 10.87% 78.26% 10.87% 4.35% 2.17% 65.52% 4.35% 2.17% 65.52% 4.35% 2.17% 84.78% 6.52% 4.35% 2.17% 84.78% 6.52% 4.35% 6.52% 4.35% 6.52% 4.35% 6.52%	117.39
	THE WAY AND THE PARTY OF THE PA	Fair	6.46	4		25.84
	whit course in English	Poor	1.56	0		0
	THUMAL COLLEGE OF ENGINEER					

ANAMENGE, THIRD SEN

Strength and Weakness

• hgrf

Special Remarks

bhytfg



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: DR.ANNAPOORNA L R

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 45

Overall Score: 88.47 Users Mark Section Question Option Opted % Opted Mark 17.75 Excellent 26 57.78% 461.5 1. Subject Knowledge Good 14.13 14 31.11% 197.82 Fair 10.28 4 8.89% 41.12 3.53 0 0% Poor 0 2.22% Can't Judge 8.00 8 1 Excellent 14.50 30 66.67% 435 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations Good 11.81 9 20% 106.29 5 40.55 Fair 8.11 11.11% Poor 2.01 0 0% 0 Can't Judge 4.09 1 2.22% 4.09 7.50 Excellent 30 66.67% 225 3. Teachers Willingness to Help Good 5.71 11 24.44% 62.81 Fair 4.04 3 6.67% 12.12 0 Poor 1.13 0% 0 2.21 2.22% Can't Judge 2.21 Less than 10 5.85 32 71.11% 187.2 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers 10 to 25 13.33% 21.96 3.66 6 2 4.44% More than 25 1.91 3.82 Can't Judge 1.64 5 11.11% 8.2 No 4.00 33 73.33% 132 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only 22.22% 6.7 Yes 0.67 10 Can't Judge 1.65 2 4.44% 3.3 268.25 2 Excellent 9.25 29 64.44% 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture 88.76 6.34 14 31.11% Satisfactory Inadequate 2.50 2 4.44% 5 0 Can't Judge 3.16 0% 4.75 38 84.44% 180.5 Just Right 2. Speed of presentation 5 1.34 11.11% 6.7 Too Fast Can't Judge 1.40 2 4.44% 2.8 80% 36 247.68 Yes 6.88 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning 15.56% 27.23 Some Times 3.89 7 0.74 2 4.44% 1.48 No Can't Judge 1.78 0 0% 0 Pleasant 6.75 39 86.67% 263.25 4. Behavior of the teacher Indifferent 2.78 3 8.34 6.67% 4.44% Unpleasant 2 2.56 1.28 Can't Judge 1.94 1 2.22% 1.94 11.50 37 82.22% 425.5 Sincere 5. Sincerity of the teacher Not Sincere 1.33 2 4.44% 2.66 5.06 Unable to Judge 6 13.33% 30.36 4.03 Blank 0 0% Excellent 11.25 27 60% 303.75 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness Good 9.03 15 33.33% 135.45 THRUNAL COLLEGE OF ENGINE Fair 6.46 3 19.38 6.67% Poor 1.56 0 0% 0

Can't Judge

MCROE THIR WANT

Strength and Weakness

nsjsjdjs

Special Remarks

yfuejsjksnxp

PKINCIPAL Sree Chura Thirumal College of Engineering Trivandrum - 18

0%

0

2.03



Staff: DR. P SAVITHA

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	19	37.25%	337.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	15	29.41%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	12	23.53%	123.36
		Poor	3.53	4	7.84%	14.12
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.96%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	14	27.45%	203
=	2. Clarity and Chderstanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	12	23.53%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	13	25.49%	105.43
		Poor	2.01	11	21.57%	22.11
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.96%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	15	Opted % 37.25% 29.41% 23.53% 7.84% 1.96% 27.45% 23.53% 25.49% 21.57% 1.96% 49.02% 23.53% 1.96% 49.02% 23.53% 1.96% 49.02% 23.53% 1.96% 25.49% 64.71% 17.65% 25.49% 39.22% 21.57% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 64.71% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 15.69% 7.84% 31.37%	112.5
	5. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	16		91.36
		Fair	4.04	13	25.49%	52.52
		Poor	1.13	6	11.76%	6.78
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	37.25% 29.41% 23.53% 7.84% 1.96% 27.45% 23.53% 25.49% 21.57% 1.96% 29.41% 31.37% 25.49% 11.76% 1.96% 49.02% 23.53% 1.96% 25.49% 21.57% 17.65% 17.65% 25.49% 39.22% 21.57% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	49.02%	146.25
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	12	23.53%	43.92
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.96%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	13	25.49% 64.71% 17.65%	21.32
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	33		132
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	9		6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	9	17.65%	14.85
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	13	25.49%	120.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize fecture	Satisfactory	6.34	20	39.22%	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	11	21.57%	27.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	7	13.73%	22.12
	2 Second of automatories	Just Right	4.75	29	56.86%	137.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	12	23.53%	16.08
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	19.61%	14
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	27	52.94%	185.76
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	14	27.45%	54.46
		No	0.74	5	9.8%	3.7
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	9.8%	8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	33	64.71%	222.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	9.8%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	6	11.76%	7.68
		Can't Judge	1.94	7	13.73%	13.58
	5 Singarity of the tenchar	Sincere	11.50	36	_	414
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	_	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	8		40.48
		Blank	4.03	4	_	16.12
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	16		180
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	13	37.25% 29.41% 23.53% 7.84% 1.96% 27.45% 23.53% 25.49% 21.57% 1.96% 29.41% 31.37% 25.49% 11.76% 1.96% 49.02% 23.53% 1.96% 25.49% 64.71% 17.65% 25.49% 39.22% 21.57% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 9.8% 64.71% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 23.53% 19.61% 52.94% 27.45% 9.8% 9.8% 64.71% 9.8% 9.8% 64.71% 9.8% 11.76% 13.73% 56.86% 27.45% 9.8% 9.8% 15.69% 7.84% 31.37% 25.49% 27.45%	117.39
	WAL COLLEGE OF EN	Fair	6.46	14		90.44
	O. OVCIAN TEACHING ENCLINES	Poor	1.56	6		9.36
		Can't Judge	2.03	2		4.06

Strength and Weakness

· nice lectures

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

TANAMORDE, THIR TYPE





Staff: DR.SOUMYA RANI.D

Subject: CYT100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	54.9%	497
	1. Subject knowledge	Good	14.13	16	ed 54.9% 31.37% 9.8% 0% 3.92% 49.02% 39.22% 7.84% 0% 3.92% 52.94% 31.37% 9.8% 60.78% 13.73% 5.88% 60.78% 11.76% 50.98% 41.18% 1.96% 5.88% 80.39% 5.88% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 78.43% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 3.92% 54.9% 31.37%	226.08
		Fair	10.28	5		51.4
		Poor	3.53	0		0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2		16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	49.02%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Oliderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	20	39.22%	236.2
		Fair	8.11	4	7.84%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	0	Opted % 54.9% 31.37% 9.8% 0% 3.92% 49.02% 39.22% 7.84% 0% 3.92% 52.94% 31.37% 9.8% 60.78% 13.73% 5.88% 19.61% 70.59% 17.65% 11.76% 50.98% 41.18% 1.96% 5.88% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 1.96%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2		8.18
	2. Tarahan Williamsa ta Hala	Excellent	7.50	27		202.5
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	16	31.37%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	5	Opted % 54.9% 31.37% 9.8% 0% 3.92% 49.02% 7.84% 0% 3.92% 52.94% 31.37% 9.8% 60.78% 13.73% 5.88% 19.61% 70.59% 17.65% 11.76% 50.98% 41.18% 1.96% 5.88% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 5.88% 13.73% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 13.73% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 5.88% 78.43% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84% 3.92% 7.84%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	0		0
		Can't Judge	2.21	3		6.63
	4 A	Less than 10	5.85	31	_	181.35
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7		25.62
		More than 25	1.91	3		5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	10		16.4
	5 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No	4.00	36		144
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	9	19.61% 70.59% 17.65% 11.76% 50.98% 41.18%	6.03
		Can't Judge	1.65	6		9.9
2		Excellent	9.25	26	_	240.5
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	21	11.76% 50.98% 41.18%	133.14
		Inadequate	2.50	1		2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	_	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	41		194.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	_	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	7		9.8
		Yes	6.88	41		282.08
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6		23.34
		No	0.74	1		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3		5.34
	Not librory and program and a state of the s	Pleasant	6.75	40	-	270
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4		
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	_	11.12
		Can't Judge	1.28	5		2.56 9.7
		Sincere				-
	5. Sincerity of the teacher		11.50	43		494.5
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	_	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4		20.24
	W 1999 -	Blank	4.03	2		8.06
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	28	_	315
	THRUNAL COLLEGE OF	Good	9.03	16		144.48
	THUMAN COLLEGE OF	Fair	6.46	4		25.84
	(A)	Poor	1.56	1	_	1.56
	(13) (A)	Can Judge	2.03	2	7.84% 0% 3.92% 52.94% 31.37% 9.8% 0% 5.88% 60.78% 13.73% 5.88% 19.61% 70.59% 17.65% 11.76% 50.98% 41.18% 1.96% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 11.76% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 11.76% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 11.76% 5.88% 13.73% 80.39% 11.76% 5.88% 78.43% 78.43% 78.44% 3.92% 54.9% 31.37% 7.84%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

· nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Users Completed: 51

Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 82.11

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	56.86%	514.75
	1. Subject Kilowicage	Good	14.13	11	21.57%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	8	15.69%	82.24
		Poor	3.53	1	1.96%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	41.18%	304.5
	2. Clarity and Oliderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	18	35.29%	212.58
		Fair	8.11	6	11.76%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	4	7.84%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	24	47.06%	180
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	19	37.25%	108.49
		Fair	4.04	5	9.8%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	1	1.96%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.92%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	66.67%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	11.76%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.96%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	19.61%	16.4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	38	74.51%	152
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	11.76%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	13.73%	
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	54.9%	259
		Satisfactory	6.34	17	33.33%	107.78
		Inadequate	2.50	3	5.88%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.88%	9.48
1	2 Sanad of association	Just Right	4.75	33	64.71%	156.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	11	21.57%	14.74
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	13.73%	9.8
	3. Donothy toocher announce and in in-	Yes	6.88	35	68.63%	240.8
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	10	19.61%	38.9
		No	0.74	3	5.88%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.88%	5.34
	Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	38	74.51%	256.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	7.84%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	5	9.8%	6.4
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	7.84%	7.76
	5 Singarity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	78.43%	460
	J. Shicerry of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.88%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	11.76%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	2	3.92%	8.06
	6 Overall Teaching effectiven	Excellent	11.25	26	50.98%	292.5
-	o. O'crail Teaching Circulated White Battering	Good	9.03	14	27.45%	126.42
	The state of the s	Fair	6.46	8	15.69%	51.68
	/3/ M/2 /4/	Poor	1.56	1	1.96%	1.56
	6. Overall Teaching effective Designation of the Control of the Co	Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

· nice lectures

Special Remarks No special remarks found. ANCHOE THERWANDER

Head of The Department

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunat

College of Engineering Trivandrum - 18





Staff: AKSHAI RAJ

Subject: EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	50.98%	461.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	13	25.49%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	10	19.61%	102.8
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	25	49.02%	362.5
	2. Clarity and Olderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	25.49%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	9	17.65%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	2	3.92%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27	52.94%	202.5
	3. reachers withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	11	21.57%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	8	15.69%	32.32
		Poor	1.13	3	5.88%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.92%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	34	66.67%	198.9
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.84%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.92%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	11	21.57%	18.04
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	37	72.55%	148
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	8	15.69%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	11.76%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	25	49.02%	231.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	20	39.22%	126.8
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.92%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.84%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	38	74.51%	180.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	13.73%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	11.76%	8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	36	70.59%	247.68
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	17.65%	35.01
		No	0.74	3	5.88%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.88%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	40	78.43%	270
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	7.84%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	7.84%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.88%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	44	86.27%	506
	3. Sheerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.88%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.88%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	1	1.96%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25	49.02%	281.25
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	15	29.41%	135.45
	AULES	Fair	6.46	7	13.73%	45.22
	STANDAR CULLEGE OF ENGINE	Poor	1.56	2	3.92%	3.12
	THE SECOND	Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.92%	4.06

MCODE, THIRWHANANTH

Strength and Weakness

· nice lectures

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.ANNAPOORNA L R

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 89.44

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023 Users Completed: 51

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	68.63%	621.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	9	17.65%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	4	7.84%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	1.96%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.92%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	70.59%	522
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of leachers Explanations	Good	11.81	8	15.69%	94.48
	Fa	Fair	8.11	5	9.8%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.92%	8.18
	2. Tarahan Williamas ta Hala	Excellent	7.50	36	70.59%	270
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	8	15.69%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	4	7.84%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	1	1.96%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.92%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	32	62.75%	187.2
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.88%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.84%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	12	23.53%	19.68
	5 William along the Market and the	No	4.00	43	84.31%	172
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	3.92%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	11.76%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	70.59%	333
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	25.49%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.92%	6.32
	2.6. 1.6	Just Right	4.75	45	88.24%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.96%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	9.8%	7
	2 Paralla tankan and a disabatan and a disabat	Yes	6.88	40	78.43%	275.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	13.73%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	3.92%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2	3.92%	3.56
	1.51	Pleasant	6.75	45	88.24%	303.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.92%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.92%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.92%	3.88
	5 Cinamity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	90.2%	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.96%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.92%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	3.92%	8.06
	6 Overall Tarabian official	Excellent	11.25	39	76.47%	438.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7	13.73%	63.21
	CULLEGEOR	Fair	6.46	3	5.88%	19.38
	CHIRDHAL CULLEGE OF ENGIN	Noor	1.56	- 0	0%	0
		Poor	1.50	U	W/0	U

't Judge


Strength and Weakness

· nice lectures

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

2.03



3.92%

4.06





Staff: MUHAMMED SHAHANAS N

Subject: EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU AM 2K23 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

1. Subject Knowledge	Opted %	Total Mark
Subject Knowledge	62.75%	568
Fair	23.53%	169.50
Poor	9.8%	51.4
Can't Judge	0%	0
2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	3.92%	16
Second 11.81 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 16 14 17 17 17 17 18 18 11 18 11 19 19 19	60.78%	449.5
Fair	21.57%	129.9
Poor Can't Judge 4.09 2 2 3. Teachers Willingness to Help Excellent 7.50 31 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 5.71 10 600 600 5.71 10 600 600 6.71 600 600 6.71 600 600 6.71 600	11.76%	48.66
Can't Judge 4.09 2	1.96%	2.01
Second S	3.92%	8.18
Solution Solution	60.78%	232.5
Fair	19.61%	57.1
Poor	15.69%	32.32
Can't Judge 2.21 2	0%	0
Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers Less than 10 5.85 3.4	3.92%	4.42
10 to 25 3.66 6 More than 25 1.91 2 Can't Judge 1.64 9	66.67%	198.9
More than 25 1.91 2 Can't Judge 1.64 9	11.76%	21.96
Can't Judge	3.92%	3.82
No	17.65%	14.76
Yes	72.55%	148
Can't Judge 1.65 7	13.73%	4.69
2 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture Excellent 9.25 32 Satisfactory 6.34 15 Inadequate 2.50 1 Can't Judge 3.16 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	13.73%	11.55
Satisfactory 6.34 15 Inadequate 2.50 1 Can't Judge 3.16 3 Just Right 4.75 38 Too Fast 1.34 6 Can't Judge 1.40 7 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning Yes 6.88 39 Some Times 3.89 8 No 0.74 0 Can't Judge 1.78 4 4. Behavior of the teacher Pleasant 6.75 40 Indifferent 2.78 6 Unpleasant 1.28 2 Can't Judge 1.94 3 5. Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 40 Not Sincere 1.33 3	62.75%	296
Inadequate 2.50 1 Can't Judge 3.16 3 2. Speed of presentation Just Right 4.75 38 Too Fast 1.34 6 Can't Judge 1.40 7 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning Yes 6.88 39 Some Times 3.89 8 No 0.74 0 Can't Judge 1.78 4 4. Behavior of the teacher Pleasant 6.75 40 Indifferent 2.78 6 Unpleasant 1.28 2 Can't Judge 1.94 3 5. Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 40 Not Sincere 1.33 3	29.41%	95.1
Can't Judge 3.16 3	1.96%	2.5
2. Speed of presentation Just Right 4.75 38 Too Fast 1.34 6 Can't Judge 1.40 7 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning Yes 6.88 39 Some Times 3.89 8 No 0.74 0 Can't Judge 1.78 4 4. Behavior of the teacher Pleasant 6.75 40 Indifferent 2.78 6 Unpleasant 1.28 2 Can't Judge 1.94 3 5. Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 40 Not Sincere 1.33 3	5.88%	9.48
Too Fast	74.51%	180.5
Can't Judge	11.76%	8.04
3. Does the teacher encourage questioning Yes Some Times 3.89 No 0.74 0 Can't Judge 1.78 4. Behavior of the teacher Pleasant Indifferent 2.78 Unpleasant 1.28 Can't Judge 1.94 3 5. Sincerity of the teacher Not Sincere 11.50 Not Sincere 1.33 3	13.73%	9.8
Some Times 3.89 8 No 0.74 0	76.47%	268.3
No 0.74 0	15.69%	31.12
Can't Judge 1.78 4 4. Behavior of the teacher Pleasant 6.75 40 Indifferent 2.78 6 Unpleasant 1.28 2 Can't Judge 1.94 3 5. Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 40 Not Sincere 1.33 3	0%	0
4. Behavior of the teacher Pleasant 6.75 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	7.84%	7.12
Indifferent 2.78 6 Unpleasant 1.28 2 Can't Judge 1.94 3 Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 40 Not Sincere 1.33 3	78.43%	270
Unpleasant 1.28 2	11.76%	16.68
Can't Judge 1.94 3 5. Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 40 Not Sincere 1.33 3	3.92%	2.56
Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 40 Not Sincere 1.33 3	5.88%	5.82
Not Sincere 1.33 3	78.43%	460
	5.88%	3.99
Unable to Judge 5.06 5	9.8%	25.3
Blank 4.03 3	5.88%	12.09
Frankland 11 25 22	64.71%	371.2
6. Overall reaching effectiveness	21.57%	99.33
Fair 6.46 5	9.8%	32.3
Fair 6.46 5 Poor 1.56 0 Can't Judge 2.03 2	0%	0
Can't Judge 2.03 2	3.92%	4.06

AME AGE, THIRWIANA

Strength and Weakness

· nice lectures

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: DHANYA V V

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1819-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	38	88.37%	674.5
	1. Subject knowledge	Good	14.13	4	9.3%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	1	2.33%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	83.72%	522
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	7	16.28%	82.67
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	36	83.72%	270
	3. reachers winnighess to ricip	Good	5.71	7	16.28%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	31	72.09%	181.35
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	6.98%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.33%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	18.6%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	35	81.4%	140
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	11.63%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.98%	4.95
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	86.05%	342.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	13.95%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 5	Just Right	4.75	43	100%	204.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	2 B	Yes	6.88	38	88.37%	261.44
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	11.63%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	A D L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	Pleasant	6.75	42	97.67%	283.5
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	2.33%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
		Sincere	11.50	43	100%	494.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	39	90.7%	438.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	3	6.98%	27.09
	WINNE COLLEGE DE C	Fair	6.46	1	2.33%	6.46
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Pode	1.56	0	0%	0.40
	1/8/ 00	CanvJudge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

• Is very friendly to the students .

Strength- Ability to deal effectively with stud

· She taught every concepts

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Special Remarks

THIRM YOU THIRM YOU A STR • The best classes in s1 was Maths. These 4 months we created a strong bond with her, not only as a teacher but also as a good friend 18

- best teacher in s1
- · Supportive and caring

Head of The Department





Subject: PHT110 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS B Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1819-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	58.14%	443.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	11	25.58%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	2	4.65%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	5	11.63%	17.65
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20 -	46.51%	290
	2. Clarity and Olderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	12	27.91%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	4	9.3%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	7	16.28%	14.07
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	18	41.86%	135
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	10	23.26%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	7	16.28%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	8	18.6%	9.04
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	26	60.47%	152.1
	4. Approximate 76 of classes 1401 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	11.63%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	3	6.98%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	20.93%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	30	69.77%	120
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	10	23.26%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.98%	4.95
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	22	51.16%	203.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	13	30.23%	82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	8	18.6%	20
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 5	Just Right	4.75	32	74.42%	152
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	9	20.93%	12.06
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.65%	2.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	25	58.14%	172
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	10	23.26%	38.9
		No	0.74	8	18.6%	5.92
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4 Debasion of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	24	55.81%	162
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	13.95%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	11	25.58%	14.08
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	4.65%	3.88
	5 Circuits of the conduction	Sincere	11.50	33	76.74%	379.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	5	11.63%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	6.98%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	2	4.65%	8.06
	6 Occasil Totaling official	Excellent	11.25	19	44.19%	213.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	13	30.23%	117.39
	Cut	UPais	6.46	5	11.63%	32.3
	MARINALOS	Poor Can't Judge	1.56	6	13.95%	9.36
	1130	Can't Assa	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

· Strength- Makes all concepts clear.

Special Remarks

• she wasn't a good teacher, she failed to be a teacher. The shows her ego towards student, she is really demotivating the Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

PRINCIPAL College of Engineering Trivondrum - 18

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.SUMESH C.K.

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1819-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score - 93 5

	Completed : 5	Option	Mark	Users	Overall Sco	Total
ection	Question	100 to 10		Opted		Mark
I	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	39	90.7%	692.25
		Good	14.13	2	4.65%	28.26
		Fair	10.28	2	4.65%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0 .	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	83.72%	522
	2. Clarity and Olderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	4	9.3%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	2	4.65%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	2.33%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	37	86.05%	277.5
	5. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	5	11.63%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1	2.33%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	A A	Less than 10	5.85	28	65.12%	163.8
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	16.28%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.33%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	16.28%	11.48
		No	4.00	38	88.37%	152
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	4.65%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	6.98%	4.95
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	83.72%	333
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	13.95%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.33%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
		Just Right	4.75	39	90.7%	185.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	4.65%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.65%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	38	88.37%	261.44
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	11.63%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
		Pleasant	6.75	42	97.67%	283.5
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	2.33%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
		Sincere	11.50	41	95.35%	471.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.33%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.33%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	36	83.72%	405
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent			_	54.18
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	IFEC 22	9.03	6	13.95%	-
	all all the	- vant	6.46	1	2.33%	6.46
	THE STATE OF THE S	Pool	1.56	0	0%	0
	//3/ 0	Can't Judgo	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

. Strength- Dedication in teaching the students by willing to twice or thrice without any hesitation so that the topic is well understood

Special Remarks

· Supportive and always positive

Head of The Department





Staff: SUBHA V

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1819-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	36	83.72%	639
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	6	13.95%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	2.33%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	31	72.09%	449.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	23.26%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	2	4.65%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	32	74.42%	240
	3. reachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	8	18.6%	45.68
	X	Fair	4.04	1	2.33%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	2	4.65%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	30	69.77%	175.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	11.63%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.33%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	16.28%	11.48
	5 Whathar the teachers distates notes only	No	4.00	37	86.05%	148
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	9.3%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	4.65%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	32	74.42%	296
		Satisfactory	6.34	10	23.26%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.33%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 51-5	Just Right	4.75	38	88.37%	180.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	6.98%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	4.65%	2.8
	2 December to the second control of the seco	Yes	6.88	35	81.4%	240.8
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	13.95%	23.34
		No	0.74	2	4.65%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4 Debesies of the tracker	Pleasant	6.75	39	90.7%	263.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.65%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.33%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	2.33%	1.94
	5 Singarity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	41	95.35%	471.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	4.65%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	Excellent	11.25	36	83.72%	405
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	5	11.63%	45.15
	CULLEGE UF FACE	Fair	6.46	1	2.33%	6.46
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Poor	1.56	1	2.33%	1.56
		Gun Judge				

Strength and Weakness

· Strength- the ability to handle with stude raging them.

PANAMICTURE, THIRWUNKS

Special Remarks

· Supportive and caring

Head of The Department



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@setce.ac.in



Staff: JICKSON G.H

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1819-Feb-2023

Users Completed : 5

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 05 81

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	33	76.74%	585.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	10	23.26%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
A		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	69.77%	435
	2. Clarity and Chderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	12	27.91%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	1	2.33%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	72.09%	232.5
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	10	23.26%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	2	4.65%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	30	69.77%	175.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	9.3%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.33%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	18.6%	13.12
	E What about the first transfer to	No	4.00	34	79.07%	136
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	11.63%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	9.3%	6.6
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	34	79.07%	314.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	20.93%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 5 1 5	Just Right	4.75	42	97.67%	199.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	2.33%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	2 Donath to donate and in its	Yes	6.88	33	76.74%	227.04
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	16.28%	27.23
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.98%	5.34
	A Debasies of the constant	Pleasant	6.75	42	97.67%	283.5
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	2.33%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	E Cinnaite of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	42	97.67%	483
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	2.33%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	(O UT - 1: - S -:	** **	11.25	32	74.42%	360
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	10	23.26%	90.3
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Nir	6.46	1	2.33%	6.46
	12	Rod	1.56	0	0%	0
	1/3/ PM	Tan Vudge	2.03	0	0%	0

et bored

Strength and Weakness

• Strength and Weakness
• Strength- Effective dealing with students in a

Special Remarks

· Supportive and motivating

Head of The Department



Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@setce.ac.in



Staff: DR.BOBY PHILIP

Subject: EST130(B) - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1819-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K22

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	35	81.4%	621.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	5	11.63%	70.65
	2	Fair	10.28	2	4.65%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	1	2.33%	3.53
	age - a large from the same and	Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	24	55.81%	348
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	20.93%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	5	11.63%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	4	9.3%	8.04
	*	Can't Judge	4.09	1	2.33%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	31	72.09%	232.5
	3. Teachers Willinghess to Help	Good	5.71	8	18.6%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	2	4.65%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	2	4.65%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	67.44%	44% 169.65 63% 18.3 5% 3.82 28% 11.48 07% 136
	4. Approximate 76 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	5	11.63%	18.3
		More than 25	1.91	2	4.65%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	16.28%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	34	79.07%	136
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	9.3%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	11.63%	8.25
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	65.12%	259
		Satisfactory	6.34	11	25.58%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	3	6.98%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	2.33%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	36	83.72%	171
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	9.3%	5.36
	(4)	Can't Judge	1.40	3	6.98%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	30	69.77%	206.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	18.6%	31.12
		No	0.74	2	4.65%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	6.98%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	41	95.35%	276.75
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	4.65%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	40	93.02%	460
	3. Sheerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	6.98%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	29	67.44%	326.25
	o. Overall Teaching effectiveness		9.03	9	20.93%	81.27
	O. Overall reaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	2	4.65%	12.92
	THIRD	Peor	1.56	3	6.98%	4.68
	1/2/ 00	Can Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

. Strength- Tries maximum to make the stude

Special Remarks

Supportive

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.ASWATHY L B

Subject: CY100 - ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

1 Subject Knowledge	Users	Completed : 41			Users	Overall Scor	Total
Can't Judge	Section	Question	Option	Mark		Opted %	Mark
Good	1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	60.98%	443.75
Poor		1. Subject Milewiedge	Good	14.13	8	19.51%	113.04
Can't Judge			Fair	10.28	2	4.88%	20.56
Excellent			Poor	3.53	2	4.88%	7.06
Good			Can't Judge	8.00	4	9.76%	32
Good		2 Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	20	48.78%	290
Poor		2. Clarity and Citiestanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	26.83%	129.91
Can't Judge			Fair	8.11	3	7.32%	24.33
Second S			Poor	2.01	3	7.32%	6.03
Good 5.71 7 17.07% 39.9			Can't Judge	4.09	4	9.76%	16.36
Sood S.71 7 17.07% 30.4		3 Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	25	60.98%	187.5
Poor		3. reactions withingness to recip	Good	5.71	7	17.07%	39.97
Can't Judge			Fair	4.04	3	7.32%	12.12
A. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers Less than 10 5.85 23 56.1% 134 10 to 25 3.66 1 2.44% 3.64 3.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66 1 2.44% 2.66			Poor	1.13	2	4.88%	2.26
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the leachers More than 25 1.91 3 7.32% 5.7; Can't Judge 1.64 14 34.15% 22.5			Can't Judge	2.21	4	9.76%	8.84
10 to 25 3.66 1 2.44% 3.66 More than 25 1.91 3 7.32% 5.73 7.32% 5.73 1.91 1.64 1.44 3.4.15% 22.5 1.91 1.64 1.44 3.4.15% 22.5 1.64 1.65 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.4.39% 1.6.5 1.0 2.6.1 1.0 2.6.1 1.0		A Approximate % of classes NOT angaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	23	56.1%	134.55
Can't Judge		4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	2.44%	3.66
No			More than 25	1.91	3	7.32%	5.73
Yes			Can't Judge	1.64	14	34.15%	22.96
Yes		5 Whathay the teachers distates notes only		4.00	29	70.73%	116
Can't Judge		5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	_	1.34
Excellent 9.25 20 48.78% 185 Satisfactory 6.34 12 29.27% 76.0 Inadequate 2.50 4 9.76% 10 Cart Judge 3.16 5 12.2% 15.3 15.3 10 14.0 8 19.51% 11.3			Can't Judge	1.65	_		16.5
Satisfactory	2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture		9.25	20	48.78%	185
Inadequate 2.50 4 9.76% 10			Satisfactory		12		76.08
Can't Judge 3.16 5 12.2% 15.3							10
2. Speed of presentation Just Right 4.75 27 65.85% 128					5		15.8
Too Fast		2. Speed of presentation			_		128.25
Can't Judge						_	8.04
Yes			Can't Judge		8		11.2
Some Times 3.89 4 9.76% 15.5 No		2 Describe to the second section in			30	_	206.4
No		3. Does the teacher encourage questioning			4		15.56
Can't Judge							1.48
Pleasant 6.75 29 70.73% 195						_	_
Indifferent 2.78 1 2.44% 2.78 1 Unpleasant 1.28 3 7.32% 3.84 Can't Judge 1.94 8 19.51% 15.5 15		7 B 1 2 63 7 1				_	195.75
Unpleasant 1.28 3 7.32% 3.84 Can't Judge 1.94 8 19.51% 15.5 5. Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 35 85.37% 402 Not Sincere 1.33 0 0% 0 Unable to Judge 5.06 3 7.32% 15.1 Blank 4.03 3 7.32% 12.0 Excellent 11.25 22 53.66% 247 Good 9.03 10 24.39% 90.3 Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3		4. Behavior of the teacher	-				2.78
Can't Judge 1.94 8 19.51% 15.52					_		3.84
Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 35 85.37% 402 Not Sincere 1.33 0 0% 0 Unable to Judge 5.06 3 7.32% 15.1 Blank 4.03 3 7.32% 12.0 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness Excellent 11.25 22 53.66% 247 Good 9.03 10 24.39% 90.3 Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3					_	_	15.52
Not Sincere 1.33 0 0% 0 Unable to Judge 5.06 3 7.32% 15.1 Blank 4.03 3 7.32% 12.0 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness Excellent 11.25 22 53.66% 247 Good 9.03 10 24.39% 90.3 Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3		. C	The state of the s		_		402.5
Unable to Judge 5.06 3 7.32% 15.1 Blank 4.03 3 7.32% 12.0 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness Excellent 11.25 22 53.66% 247 Good 9.03 10 24.39% 90.3 Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3		5. Sincerity of the teacher			_		-
Blank 4.03 3 7.32% 12.0 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness Excellent 11.25 22 53.66% 247 Good 9.03 10 24.39% 90.3 Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3							15.18
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness Excellent 11.25 22 53.66% 247 Good 9.03 10 24.39% 90.3 Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3					_		12.09
Good 9.03 10 24.39% 90.3 Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3							247.5
Fair 6.46 3 7.32% 19.3		Overall Teaching effectiveness				_	90.3
					_		19.38
WAL CHILLEGE OF C. 130 2 4.00% S.I.I.						_	
Can't ludge 1 2 02 14 0 760/ 10 1		WAL COLLEGE OF FU	Can't Judge	2.03	4	9.76%	8.12

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

MANCODE, THIRAVENIAN



Staff: MERLIN RAJATHA N R

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	26	65%	461.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	8	20%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	2	5%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	2	5%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	5%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	21	52.5%	304.5
	2. Clarity and Olderstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	27.5%	129.91
		Fair	8.11	4	10%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	2	5%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	5%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	22	55%	165
	5. reachers withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	11	27.5%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	3	7.5%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	2.5%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	7.5%	6.63
	1 A i 0/ -5 -1 NOT 11 -1 -1 -1	Less than 10	5.85	24	60%	140.4
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	10%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.5%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	11	27.5%	18.04
		No	4.00	24	60%	96
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	10	25%	
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	15%	9.9
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	22	55%	203.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	11	27.5%	69.74
		Inadequate	2.50	2	5%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	12.5%	15.8
1		Just Right	4.75	30	75%	142.5
	Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	6	15%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	10%	5.6
1	20 AS	Yes	6.88	27	67.5%	185.76
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	17.5%	27.23
		No No	0.74	2	5%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	10%	7.12
		Pleasant	6.75	33	82.5%	222.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	5%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.5%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.26	4	10%	7.76
1		Sincere	11.50	34	85%	391
	Sincerity of the teacher			_		
		Not Sincere	5.06	2	5%	2.66
		Unable to Judge		3	7.5%	15.18
		Diank	4.03	1 22	2.5%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	22	55%	247.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Coold	9.03	10	25%	90.3
	1 31	Jan. /	6.46	3	7.5%	19.38
	The state of the s	Dhad il	1.56	2	5%	3.12

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff: DR. P SAVITHA

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

1	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	25	62.5%	443.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	11	27.5%	155.43
		Fair	10.28	1	2.5%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	2.5%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	5%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	23	57.5%	333.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	25%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	4	10%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	1	2.5%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	5%	8.18
	2 Tarakan William a ta Hala	Excellent	7.50	25	62.5%	187.5
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	10	25%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	2	5%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	2.5%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	5%	4.42
	A CALL NOT III I	Less than 10	5.85	28	70%	163.8
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	7.5%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.5%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	20%	13.12
		No	4.00	30	75%	120
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	15%	4.02
	1	Can't Judge	1.65	4	10%	6.6
2		Excellent	9.25	26	65%	240.5
7	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	10	25%	63.4
		Inadequate	2.50	1	2.5%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	7.5%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	34	85%	161.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	5%	2.68
	×	Can't Judge	1.40	4	10%	5.6
		Yes	6.88	28	70%	192.64
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	17.5%	27.23
	P-	No	0.74	1	2.5%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	10%	7.12
		Pleasant	6.75	36	90%	243
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.5%	1.28
	1.00	Can't Judge	1.94	3	7.5%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	35	87.5%	402.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	2.5%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	5%	10.12
			4.03	2	5%	8.06
		Blank		4	270	0.00
		Blank		_		
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	25 -	62.5%	281.25
	A DOMESTIC CONTROL OF	Excellent Good	11.25 9.03	25 8	62.5% 20%	281.25 72.24
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent Good	11.25	25 -	62.5%	281.25

Strength and Weakness

good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

MAMCODE, THIRWAN





Staff: BINDHU BERNARD

Subject: EST100 - ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed : 41 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	30	73.17%	532.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	6	14.63%	84.78
		Fair	10.28	2	4.88%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	1	2.44%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.88%	16
	2 Chaire and Understanding of teachers Evaluations	Excellent	14.50	27	65.85%	391.5
	Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	21.95%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	2	4.88%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	1	2.44%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	4.88%	8.18
	2 To do Williams to Hale	Excellent	7.50	28	68.29%	210
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	7	17.07%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	2	4.88%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	2		2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	2		4.42
	A CONTRACT NOT	Less than 10	5.85	28		163.8
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3		10.98
		More than 25	1.91	2	_	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8		13.12
		No	4.00	30		120
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	7		4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	4		6.6
2	1 To do Allin to annie later	Excellent	9.25	27	_	249.75
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9		57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	2		5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3		9.48
		Just Right	4.75	30	_	142.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	8		10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	_	4.2
		Yes	6.88	29		199.52
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7		27.23
		No	0.74	1	2.44%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	9.76%	7.12
	1 7 1	Pleasant	6.75	32		216
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2		5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	2		2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	5		9.7
		Sincere	11.50	35		402.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1		1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3		15.18
		Blank	4.03	2		8.06
		Excellent	11.25	26		292.5
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	G 1	9.03	8		72.24
	THE THEORY COLLEGE	Fair	6.46	2	4.88% 4.88% 68.29% 7.32% 4.88% 19.51% 17.07% 9.76% 65.85% 21.95% 4.88% 7.32% 73.17% 19.51% 7.32% 17.07%	12.92
	THRUMAL COLLEGE	Poor	1.56	2	_	3.12
2	(And)	-	1.50	-	7.0070	3.14

Can't Judge

Strength and Weakness

good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

PRHICIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunat College of Engineering

7.32%

6.09

2.03





Staff: DR.SHIMI LAWRENCE

Subject: EST120 - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	70.73%	514.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	5	12.2%	70.65
		Fair	10.28	4	9.76%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	7.32%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	24	58.54%	348
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	Opted % 70.73% 12.2% 9.76% 0% 7.32%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	5		40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	7.32%	12.27
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	68.29%	210
	3. reachers withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	4	9.76%	22.84
		Fair	4.04	6	9.76% 0% 7.32% 58.54% 21.95% 12.2% 0% 7.32% 68.29% 9.76% 14.63% 21.95% 70.73% 4.88% 21.95% 14.63% 63.41% 21.95% 0% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 85.37% 4.88% 0% 9.76%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	70.73% 12.2% 9.76% 0% 7.32% 58.54% 21.95% 12.2% 0% 7.32% 68.29% 9.76% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 70.73% 14.63% 2.44% 21.95% 14.63% 63.41% 21.95% 0% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 82.93% 4.88% 12.2% 70.73% 14.63% 85.37% 4.88% 0% 9.76% 90.24% 0% 4.88%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29		169.65
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2		7.32
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.44%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	21.95%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	29		116
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	14.63%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	14.63%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	26	63.41%	240.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	9		57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	6	14.63%	18.96
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	34	82.93%	161.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	4.88%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	12.2%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	29	70.73%	199.52
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	6	14.63%	23.34
		No	0.74	1	2.44%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	5		8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	35	85.37%	236.25
		Indifferent	2.78	2		5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.94	4		7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	37		425.5
	5. Sincertly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0		0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
		Blank	4.03	2		8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	27		303.75
		Good	9.03	6		54.18
		Fair	6.46	5		32.3
	WHAT COLLEGE DE	Poor	1.56	0		0
	THRUNAL COLLEGE OF	Can't Judge	2.03	3	7.32%	6.09

OF THIRMIANA

Strength and Weakness

• good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

PRINCIPAL





Staff: GOPIKA GOPAN

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users	Completed : 41	1			Overall Scor	
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	. 23	56.1%	408.25
. 1	1. Subject Kilowiedge	Good	14.13	9	21.95%	127.17
		Fair	10.28	6	14.63%	61.68
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	3	7.32%	24
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	22	53.66%	319
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	Opted % 56.1% 21.95% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 53.66% 24.39% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 48.78% 26.83% 17.07% 0% 7.32% 60.98% 9.76% 0% 29.27% 60.98% 14.63% 24.39% 53.66% 29.27% 0% 17.07% 70.73% 4.88% 24.39% 75.61% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 73.17% 7.32% 2.44%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	6	56.1% 21.95% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 53.66% 24.39% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 48.78% 26.83% 17.07% 0% 7.32% 60.98% 9.76% 0% 29.27% 60.98% 14.63% 24.39% 53.66% 29.27% 0% 17.07% 70.73% 4.88% 24.39% 75.61% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 0% 13.17% 7.32% 2.44% 17.07% 85.37% 0% 9.76% 4.88%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	7.32%	12.27
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	20	Opted % 56.1% 21.95% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 53.66% 24.39% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 48.78% 26.83% 17.07% 0% 7.32% 60.98% 9.76% 0% 29.27% 60.98% 14.63% 24.39% 53.66% 24.39% 17.07% 0% 17.07% 70.73% 4.88% 24.39% 75.61% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 0% 17.07% 85.37% 0% 9.76% 4.88% 24.39% 14.63%	150
	3. reachers winnighess to ricip	Good	5.71	11		62.81
		Fair	4.04	7	17.07%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	7.32%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	25	60.98%	146.25
	4. Approximate 78 of classes 1401 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	9.76%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	_12	29.27%	19.68
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	25	60.98%	100
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	14.63%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	10	24.39%	16.5
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	22	53.66%	203.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	12	29.27%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	7	17.07%	22.12
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	29	70.73%	137.75
	z. speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	4.88%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	10	24.39%	14
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	31	75.61%	213.28
	or both the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	12.2%	19.45
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	5	12.2%	8.9
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	30	73.17%	202.5
		Indifferent	2.78	3	7.32%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	2.44%	1.28
	2	Can't Judge	1.94	7	17.07%	13.58
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	35	85.37%	402.5
	The second secon	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	9.76%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	2	4.88%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	21	51.22%	236.25
		Good	9.03	10	24.39%	90.3
	THRUMAL CULLEGE DE	Fair	6.46	6	26.83% 17.07% 0% 7.32% 60.98% 9.76% 0% 29.27% 60.98% 14.63% 24.39% 53.66% 29.27% 0% 17.07% 4.88% 24.39% 75.61% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 73.17% 7.32% 2.44% 17.07% 85.37% 0% 9.76% 4.88% 51.22% 24.39%	38.76
	AHHUR.	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	4	21.95% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 53.66% 24.39% 14.63% 0% 7.32% 48.78% 26.83% 17.07% 0% 7.32% 60.98% 9.76% 0% 29.27% 60.98% 14.63% 24.39% 53.66% 29.27% 0% 17.07% 70.73% 4.88% 24.39% 75.61% 12.2% 0% 12.2% 7.32% 2.44% 17.07% 85.37% 0% 9.76% 9.76% 4.88% 51.22% 4.88% 51.22% 0%	8.12

MOUDE, THIRWWANAN

Strength and Weakness

good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.SANUKRISHNA S.S.

Subject: EST120(B) - BASICS OF CIVIL & MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users	Completed : 41				Overall Scor	e: 87.28
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	30	73.17%	532.5
		Good	14.13	8	19.51%	113.04
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	1	2.44%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	4.88%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	28	Opted % 73.17% 19.51% 0% 2.44% 4.88% 68.29% 21.95% 2.44% 4.88% 65.85% 19.51% 4.88% 4.88% 70.73% 2.44% 2.44% 2.44% 2.44% 68.29% 17.07% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 17.07% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 17.07% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 17.07% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 17.07% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 17.07% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 17.07% 4.88% 9.76% 85.37% 17.07%	406
	2. Clarky and Charles and Charles Explanations	Good	11.81	9	21.95%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	1	2.44%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	73.17% 19.51% 0% 2.44% 4.88% 68.29% 21.95% 2.44% 4.88% 65.85% 19.51% 4.88% 4.88% 4.88% 4.88% 4.88% 68.29% 17.07% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 70.73% 14.63% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 68.29% 17.07% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 68.29% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 68.29% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 68.29% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 68.29% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 17.07% 18.88% 9.76% 17.07% 18.8%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	2		8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	27		202.5
	3. reacticis withingliess to ricip	Good	5.71	8	19.51%	45.68
		Fair	4.04	2	4.88%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	2	4.88%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	4.88%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	29	70.73%	169.65
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	2.44%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	1	2.44%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	10	24.39%	16.4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	28	68.29%	112
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	7	17.07%	4.69
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	14.63%	9.9
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	29	70.73%	268.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	14.63%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	1		2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	5		15.8
	26 16	Just Right	4.75	35	-	166.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2		2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	4		5.6
	2 December to the total and a second	Yes	6.88	28		192.64
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7		27.23
		No	0.74	2		1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	4		7.12
	1 Palacia del 1	Pleasant	6.75	35		236.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0		0
		Unpleasant	1.28	2		2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	-	7.76
	5 Sincerity of the searches	Sincere	11.50	36		414
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1		1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
		Blank	4.03	2		
	6 Organil Tarabia official	Excellent	11.25	27		8.06
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	7		303.75
	The state of	Fritz	6.46	_	_	63.21
	THE TENENT CULLEGE UP	Boor		3		19.38
		Can't Judge	1.56 2.03	1		1.56
A STATE OF THE OWNER,		Cantoudge	2.03	3	7.32%	6.09

POPULAR CHUE, THIRWAN

Strength and Weakness

good

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: GOPIKA J S

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1816-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed : 4 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	4	100%	71
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	0	0%	0
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	3	75%	43.5
-11	2. Clarky and Olderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	1	Opted Opted %	11.81
		Fair	8.11	Users Opted % 4 100% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 1 25% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0	0	
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	4	100%	30
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	0	0%	0
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	4	100%	23.4
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	4	100%	16
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
	A	Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	4	100%	37
	1. Teachers ability to organize fecture	Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	0
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	4	100%	19
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	2. Done the teacher announce questioning	Yes	6.88	3	75%	20.64
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	1	25%	3.89
		No	0.74	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	A Rehavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	4	_	27
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	_	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	_		0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	4	_	46
	5. Sincertly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	_	_	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6 Overell Tarabina effective	Excellent	11.25	3	75%	33.75
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	1	25%	9.03
		P. C.	6.46	0	0%	0
	BUNDAL CULLEGE OF ENGINEE	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	WAT WELL	Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Sallamenge Tureview

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

PRINCIPAL

Sree Chitra Thirunai College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 18





Staff: DR. PREM KUMAR J.

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1816-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overell Sagre : 07 5

Users	Completed: 3				Overall Sco	re: 92.5
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	3	100%	53.25
	The state of the s	Good	14.13	0	0%	0
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	3	100%	43.5
	a class, and class and a class a class and	Good	11.81	0	0%	0
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0		0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	3		22.5
	J. Folkers Willingstess to Free	Good	5.71	0		0
		Fair	4.04	0	_	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	3	100%	17.55
	1. Approximate by or classes it of engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	2	66.67%	8
	2. Whether the teachers dictates hotes only	Yes	0.67	1	33.33%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	1	33.33%	9.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	2	66.67%	12.68
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	2	66.67%	9.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	33.33%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	1	33.33%	6.88
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2	66.67%	7.78
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	2	66.67%	13.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1	33.33%	2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	3	100%	34.5
	3. Sheerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	3	100%	33.75
	The state of the s	Good	9.03	0	0%	0
	HAMAN CULLEGE UF FAIGHT	Fair	6.46	0		0
	authan din	Poor	1.56	0		0
	12	Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

NAMICODE, THIRWYAN

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: AMAL RAJ B

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1816-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	2	100%	35.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	0	0%	0
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	2	100%	29
	2. Clarity and Olderstanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	0	0%	0
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	1	0pted % 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	7.5
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	1		5.71
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
	· ·	Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4 Ai	Less than 10	5.85	1	50%	5.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	_	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0		0
	×	Can't Judge	1.64	0		0
	c was at at a table to the	No	4.00	2		8
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	_	0
2	I T I I I I I I I	Excellent	9.25	0		0
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	2	_	12.6
		Inadequate	2.50	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0		0
	25-1-5	Just Right	4.75	1	_	4.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	_	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	_	0
	2 December to the december of the first	Yes	6.88	0	_	0
	Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	1		3.89
		No	0.74	1		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	_	0
	A D L C C C L L	Pleasant	6.75	2	_	13.5
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	_	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0		0
		Sincere	11.50	1	_	11.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	_	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	_	0
		Blank	4.03	0	_	0
		Excellent	11.25	1		11.2
	Overall Teaching effectiveness	C 1	9.03	1		9.03
	THE PARTY OF THE P	Fair	6.46	0	_	0
	HAL CULLEGE OF ENGIN	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	(A)	Cap't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.BOBY PHILIP

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1816-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K22

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users	Completed: 2				verall Score	: 80.28
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	1	50%	17.75
	The subject tells will age	Good	14.13	1	50%	14.13
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	0	0%	0
	2. Clarify and Chactonaiding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	1	50%	11.81
		Fair	8.11	1	50%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	1	50%	7.5
	s reaction with gliess to ricip	Good	5.71	0	0%	0
		Fair	4.04	1	50%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	1	50%	5.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	50%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	1	50%	4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	50%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	0	0%	0
		Satisfactory	6.34	2	100%	12.68
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 51-5	Just Right	4.75	0	0%	0
	Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	100%	2.8
	2 D	Yes	6.88	1	50%	6.88
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	1	50%	3.89
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	A Dollar control of the state o	Pleasant	6.75	2	100%	13.5
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
		Sincere	11.50	2	100%	23
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	1	50%	11.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	1	50%	9.03
	SAL CULLEGE DE	Kair	6.46	0	0%	0
	W. W. W.	PAAr	1.56	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Can't Judge	1.50	U	0.70	

PANAMEDDE, THIRWINGS

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: ANAKHASREE S R

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1816-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	2	100%	35.5
15	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	0	0%	0
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	2	100%	29
72	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	0	0%	0
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	2	100%	15
	3. reachers willingliess to freip	Good	5.71	0	0%	0
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	2	100%	11.7
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	2	100%	8
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	2	100%	18.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	0
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	2	100%	9.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	2	100%	13.7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0	0%	0
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	2	100%	13.5
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
	E	Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	2	100%	23
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6 Overall Tarabina officiativa		11.25	2	100%	22.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
	4HRB	Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
	1/3/ - 6	Can't Audge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.RENJITH R J

Subject: EST130(B) - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1816-Feb-2023

Usare Completed . 2

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overell Score : 99 98

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	2	100%	35.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	0	0%	0
	.44	Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
	X X	Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	2	100%	29
	2. Clarry and Oliderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	0	100% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0
		Fair	8.11	0	Opted % 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	2	100%	15
	5. reachers withingness to help	Good	5.71	0	0%	0
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	2	100%	11.7
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5 Whathards to be Estate at a selection	No	4.00	2	100%	8
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	2	100%	18.5
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	0
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 8	Just Right	4.75	2	100%	9.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	2	100%	13.76
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0	0%	0
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4 Bahasian of the terral and	Pleasant	6.75	2	100%	13.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5 Simulation follows have	Sincere	11.50	2	100%	23
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	_	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0		0
		Blank	4.03	0	_	0
	CO. UT. Line (Section	Excellent	11.25	2		22.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	0		0
	THEOLOGIC COLLEGE OF THE		6.46	0	-	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Poor	1.56	0	_	0
	1/3/ BID	Can't Judge	2.03	0		0

MCODE, THIRWVANIANCH

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

PRINCIPAL

Sree Chitra Thirunai College of Engineering

Trivandrum - 18



Staff: SUJA DEVI V.

Subject: PHT110 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS B Session Name: Faculty Feedback S1 FEB2023

Session Id: TE-SN1816-Feb-2023

Batch: BTech KTU MA 2K22 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	2	100%	35.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	0	0%	0
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	1	50%	14.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	0	0%	0
	8	Fair	8.11	1	50%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	2. Total on Williams and Hele	Excellent	7.50	1	50%	7.5
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	0	0%	0
		Fair	4.04	1	_	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	_	0
	A A CONTRACT OF THE A CONTRACT	Less than 10	5.85	2	0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100% 0% 50% 50% 50% 50% 50% 0% 50% 50% 0% 50% 5	11.7
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	_	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	January	0
		No	4.00	1	_	4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1		0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	_	0
2	1. Tanahara shilitu ta arranina lastura	Excellent	9.25	1		9.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	1		6.34
		Inadequate	2.50	0		0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0		0
	26 16	Just Right	4.75	I	ATTION TO VI	4.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	_	1.4
	2 B	Yes	6.88	2		13.70
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0		0
		No	0.74	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	_	0
	A.D.L. Col I	Pleasant	6.75	1	_	6.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	_	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	_	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	_	1.94
		Sincere	11.50	1	50%	11.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	50%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
		Excellent	11.25	1	50%	11.2
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	0	0%	0
			6.46	1	50%	-
	THE THE PARTY OF T	Poor		0		6.46
	allha	Can't Judge	1.56 2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: DHANYA V V

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

y iu .		L20-J	an	-20	20
0	11	C	200	00	70

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	40	67.8%	710
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	13	22.03%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	2	3.39%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	3	5.08%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	40	67.8%	580
	2. Clarity and Understanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	22.03%	153.53
		Fair	8.11	2	3.39%	16.22
		Poor	2.01	3	5.08%	6.03
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	39	66.1%	292.5
	3. reactions withinghess to ricip	Good	5.71	12	20.34%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	4	6.78%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	3	5.08%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	69.49%	239.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	1.69%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	4	6.78%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	13	22.03%	21.32
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	69.49%	164
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	10.17%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	12	Opted % 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 66.1% 20.34% 6.78% 5.08% 1.69% 6.78% 22.03% 6.78% 6.49% 6.78% 6.78% 6.78% 6.78%	19.8
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	66.1%	360.75
	1. reactions ability to organize fecture	Satisfactory	6.34	12	20.34%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	3	Opted % 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 66.1% 20.34% 6.78% 5.08% 1.69% 69.49% 1.69% 60.17% 20.34% 60.78% 22.03% 69.49% 10.17% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 5.08% 10.17% 79.66% 8.47% 11.86% 72.88% 10.17% 79.66% 8.47% 5.08%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	8.47%	15.8
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	48	81.36%	228
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	6.78%	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.40	7	11.86%	9.8
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	43	67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 66.1% 20.34% 6.78% 5.08% 1.69% 69.49% 10.17% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 67.8% 5.08% 10.17% 20.34% 66.1% 21.34% 66.1% 22.34% 66.1% 22.34% 66.1% 22.34% 66.1% 20.34% 5.08% 8.47% 81.36% 6.78% 11.86% 72.88% 10.17% 79.66% 8.47% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08%	295.84
	27 2000 the tenents encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8		31.12
		No	0.74	2	3.39%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	Opted % 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 66.1% 20.34% 6.78% 5.08% 1.69% 69.49% 1.69% 60.78% 22.03% 69.49% 10.17% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 67.8% 10.17% 20.34% 5.08% 8.47% 81.36% 6.78% 11.86% 72.88% 10.17% 79.66% 8.47% 5.08%	10.68
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	79.66%	317.25
		Indifferent	2.78	5	0pted % 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 66.1% 20.34% 6.78% 5.08% 1.69% 69.49% 1.69% 6.78% 22.03% 69.49% 11.69% 6.78% 22.03% 69.49% 10.17% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 5.08% 8.47% 81.36% 6.78% 11.86% 72.88% 13.56% 3.39% 10.17% 79.66% 8.47% 6.78% 5.08% 11.86% 72.88% 13.56% 3.39% 5.08% 8.47% 5.08% 8.47% 6.78% 5.08% 8.47% 6.78% 5.08% 6.78% 5.08% 8.47% 6.78% 5.08% 6.78%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	4	6.78%	5.12
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.08%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	51	86.44%	586.5
	2000 majoramed (1♥) (200 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.08%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.39%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	3	Opted % 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 67.8% 22.03% 3.39% 5.08% 1.69% 66.1% 20.34% 6.78% 5.08% 1.69% 69.49% 1.69% 60.17% 20.34% 60.78% 22.03% 69.49% 10.17% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 66.1% 20.34% 5.08% 10.17% 5.08% 8.47% 81.36% 6.78% 11.86% 72.88% 10.17% 79.66% 8.47% 5.08%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	43	72.88%	483.75
		Good	9.03	7	11.86%	63.21
	CULLEGE OF ENGLY	Fair	6.46	5	8.47%	32.3
	HARDINAL CULLEGE OF ENGINEERS	Poor	1.56	3	5.08%	4.68
	15 and 13	Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.69%	2.03

SONAMCORE, THIE WILL

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department



Staff: MERLIN RAJATHA N R

Subject: MAT101 - LINEAR ALGEBRA & CALCULUS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	46	77.97%	816.5
	1. Subject Kilowieuge	Good	14.13	10	16.95%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	1	1.69%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.69%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
1	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	43	72.88%	623.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	15.25%	106.29
2.13		Fair	8.11	4	6.78%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	2	3.39%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	41	69.49%	307.5
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	11	18.64%	62.81
		Fair	4.04	3	5.08%	12.12
	36	Poor	1.13	2	3.39%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.39%	4.42
	I A STATE OF THE S	Less than 10	5.85	44	74.58%	257.4
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	6.78%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	_	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	13.56%	13.12
		No	4.00	47	79.66%	188
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4		2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	8		13.2
2	1 T. I. I. Victoria in I.	Excellent	9.25	41		379.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	13		82.42
		Inadequate	2.50	1	77.97% 16.95% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.525% 6.78% 3.39% 1.69% 18.64% 5.08% 3.39% 74.58% 6.78% 5.08%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4		12.64
	26 16	Just Right	4.75	48		228
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	5		6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	6	Opted % 77.97% 16.95% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.5.25% 6.78% 3.39% 1.69% 69.49% 3.39% 74.58% 6.78% 13.56% 69.49% 22.03% 1.69% 6.78% 81.36%	8.4
	28 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Yes	6.88	43		295.84
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11		42.79
		No	0.74	1		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4		7.12
	1.0.1	Pleasant	6.75	49		330.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	7	-	19.46
		Unpleasant	1.28	1		1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2		3.88
	6.61	Sincere	11.50	52	_	598
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	4		5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
			4.03	1		4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	44		495
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	9		81.27
	all Market	Fare	6.46	4		25.84
		Poor	1.56	1		1.56
						1


Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department







Staff: SALINIGOPAL M S

Subject: PHT110 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS B

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023 Usans Completed . 50

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 93.87

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	50	84.75%	887.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	7	11.86%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	1	1.69%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	49	83.05%	710.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of leachers Explanations	Good	11.81	9	15.25%	106.29
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	2. Tarakan Williamsa ta Hala	Excellent	7.50	50	84.75%	375
		Good	5.71	7	11.86%	39.97
		Fair	4.04	1	1.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	47	79.66%	274.95
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	6.78%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.69%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	7.	11.86%	11.48
	5 What also have the distance of the	No	4.00	47	79.66%	188
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	8.47%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	_	11.55
2	1. Tanahari ahilitu ta arganira laatura	Excellent	9.25	50	84.75%	462.5
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	5	8.47%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	0	Opted % 84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 0% 1.69% 83.05% 15.25% 0% 1.69% 84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 79.66% 6.78% 11.86% 79.66% 8.47% 11.86% 84.75%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	4		12.64
	2 Sanad of accountation	Just Right	4.75	53		251.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	-	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 0% 1.69% 83.05% 15.25% 0% 0% 1.69% 84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 79.66% 6.78% 11.86% 84.75% 8.47% 0% 6.78% 8.47% 0% 6.78% 8.47% 0% 6.78% 89.83% 5.08% 84.75% 11.86% 0% 3.39% 91.53% 5.08% 6.78% 11.86% 0% 3.39% 91.69% 89.83% 6.78% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83% 6.78% 1.69%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	50		344
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7		27.23
		No	0.74	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.78	2		3.56
	A Daharrian of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	54	91.53%	364.5
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	_	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2		3.88
	5 Simulation Calmanda	Sincere	11.50	53	Opted % 84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 0% 1.69% 83.05% 0% 1.69% 84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 79.66% 6.78% 11.86% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 11.86% 84.75% 11.86% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 11.86% 0% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 6.78% 11.86% 0% 3.39% 91.53% 5.08% 6.78% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83% 6.78% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83% 6.78% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83%	609.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	4		5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1		5.06
		Blank	4.03	1	Opted % 84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 0% 1.69% 83.05% 15.25% 0% 1.69% 84.75% 11.86% 1.69% 79.66% 6.78% 11.86% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 85.08% 85.08% 5.08% 5.08% 5.08% 6.78% 11.86% 9% 11.86% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 11.86% 9% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83% 6.78% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83% 6.78% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83% 6.78% 1.69% 1.69% 89.83%	4.03
	(O - UT - N - C	Excellent	11.25	53		596.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	THE WORLD	9.03	5		45.15
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Fair	6.46	0		0
	IS AND	Poor	1.56	0		0
	1181 118	Card Judge	2.03		0.70	+ -

HOUDE, THIRMVANAM

Strength and Weakness

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department





Staff: DR.SREEJITH B.J.

Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Sacre : 05 12

1			15045000	Opted	Opteu %	Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	51	86.44%	905.25
	1. Subject Kilowieuge	Good	14.13	7	11.86%	98.91
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
i i		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
- 1		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
1	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	53	89.83%	768.5
	2. Clarity and Understanding of feachers Explanations	Good .	11.81	5	8.47%	59.05
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	2 T. J. Williams J. H.L.	Excellent	7.50	53	89.83%	397.5
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	5	8.47%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.69%	2.21
	the second second second	Less than 10	5.85	47	Opted % 86.44% 11.86% 0% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 0% 0% 0%	274.95
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	_	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	2		3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8		13.12
		No	4.00	50		200
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1		0.67
		Can't Judge	1,65	8		13.2
2		Excellent	9.25	50	_	462.5
-	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	5	Opted % 86.44% 11.86% 0% 0% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 1.69% 79.66% 3.39% 13.56% 84.75% 1.69% 13.56% 84.75% 1.69% 89.83% 1.69% 5.08% 0% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 0%	31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	0		0
		Can't Judge	3.16	4		12.64
	and the control of th	Just Right	4.75	53		251.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	_	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	5		7
- 1		Yes	6.88	51	Opted % 86.44% 11.86% 0% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 1.69% 79.66% 3.39% 3.39% 13.56% 84.75% 1.69% 84.75% 1.69% 84.75% 1.69% 84.75% 1.69% 5.08% 0% 5.08% 0% 1.69% 93.22% 5.08% 0% 0%	350.88
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	3		11.67
		No No	0.74	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.78	5		8.9
		Pleasant	6.75	55	Opted % 86.44% 11.86% 0% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 1.69% 79.66% 3.39% 3.39% 13.56% 84.75% 1.69% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 8.47% 0% 5.08% 0% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69%	371.25
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1		2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.94	3		5.82
		Sincere	11.50	57		655.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere		1	_	_
			1.33	0		0
		Unable to Judge Blank	5.06	1	86.44% 11.86% 0% 0% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 0% 1.69% 89.83% 8.47% 0% 0% 1.69% 3.39% 3.39% 13.56% 84.75% 1.69% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 84.75% 1.69% 87.66% 84.75% 84.75% 85.08% 0% 86.44% 5.08% 0% 86.44% 5.08% 0% 1.69% 93.22% 1.69% 0% 1.69% 93.22% 5.08% 0%	+
			4.03	-		4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	55		618.75
	OUILFOR	Good	9.03	3		27.09
	STATEMENT COLLEGE DE ENGL	Fair	6.46	0		0
	THIN TO SE	Poor Can't Judge	2.03	0	_	2.03

MCOOF, THIONYLNAM

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

PRINCIPAL Sree Chitra Thirunal





Staff: DR.BOBY PHILIP

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 03 83

	Completed : 59 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	52	88.14%	923
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	4	6.78%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	2	3.39%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
	5	Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	51	86.44%	739.5
	2. Clarity and Olderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	4	6.78%	47.24
		Fair	8.11	2	3.39%	16.22
	Y .	Poor	2.01	1	1.69%	2.01
	*	Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	51	86.44%	382.5
	3. Teachers withingness to ricip	Good	5.71	5	8.47%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	1	1.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	1	1.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	48	81.36%	280.8
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	1	1.69%	3.66
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.39%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	13.56%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	51	86.44%	204
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3	5.08%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	8.47%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	48	81.36%	444
	1. reacticis ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	8	88.14% 6.78% 3.39% 0% 1.69% 86.44% 6.78% 3.39% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 5.08% 86.44% 8.47%	50.72
		Inadequate	2.50	0		0
		Can't Judge	3.16	3		9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	54	91.53%	256.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	1	1.69%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	0pted % 88.14% 6.78% 3.39% 0% 1.69% 86.44% 6.78% 3.39% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.56% 81.36% 1.56% 81.36% 1.56% 80.44% 81.36% 1.69% 1.58% 84.47% 81.36% 1.56% 0% 5.08% 84.47% 1.69%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	50		344
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5		19.45
		No	0.74	2		1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	2		3.56
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	55		371.2
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	1		2.78
		Unpleasant	1.28	1		1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2		3.88
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	55		632.5
	or one the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1		1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	Opted % 88.14% 6.78% 3.39% 0% 1.69% 86.44% 6.78% 3.39% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.56% 81.36% 1.56% 80.44% 81.36% 1.69% 1.58% 84.47% 81.36% 1.56% 0% 5.08% 84.47% 1.69%	4.03
	6 Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	50		562.5
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	4		36.12
	O. OVEI AN TEACHING ETTECHVENES	Fair	6.46	3		19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	_	1.56
	TE CHAM IEM	Can't Judge	2.03	1		2.03

Strength and Weakness

• oke

Special Remarks No special remarks found. MANAGINE, THIRMSONAN

Head of The Department





Staff: SREEKUTTY S

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score : 01 40

	Completed : 59 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	45	76.27%	798.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	10	16.95%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	1	1.69%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	2	3.39%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	45	76.27%	652.5
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of feachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	18.64%	129.91
		Fair	8.11	1	1.69%	8.11
	<	Poor	2.01	1	1.69%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	2. Tanahan Williamsa ta Hala	Excellent	7.50	45	76.27%	337.5
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	10	16.95%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	2	3.39%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	1	1.69%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	Opted % 76.27% 16.95% 1.69% 3.39% 1.69% 18.64% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 76.27% 16.95% 3.39%	2.21
	1 A	Less than 10	5.85	48		280.8
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2		7.32
		More than 25	1.91	1		1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	8		13.12
	s was a state of the state of the	No	4.00	46		184
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	6	+	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	7		11.55
2	1 Tarakan akilibuta ananain latus	Excellent	9.25	45	76.27% 16.95% 1.69% 3.39% 1.69% 1.86% 77.97% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 10.53% 10.69% 10.78% 10.78% 10.78% 10.78% 10.78% 10.78% 10.78% 10.80%	416.25
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	9	Opted % 76.27% 16.95% 1.69% 3.39% 1.69% 76.27% 18.64% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.86% 77.97% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 1.525% 1.69% 8.44% 5.08% 8.44% 5.08% 8.47% 81.36% 0% 6.78% 8.44% 5.08% 8.47% 91.53% 1.69% 3.39% 79.66% 13.56% 3.39% 79.66% 13.56% 3.39% 79.66% 13.56% 3.39% 79.66%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1		2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4		12.64
	2 Smood of management in	Just Right	4.75	51		242.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3		4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	5		7
	3. Door the teacher measures meetings	Yes	6.88	48	76.27% 16.95% 1.69% 3.39% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.86% 77.97% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 15.25% 1.69% 6.78% 86.44% 5.08% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 86.44% 5.08% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 83.39% 1.69% 3.39% 1.69% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 11.86% 0%	330.24
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7		27.23
		No	0.74	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.78	4		7.12
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	51		344.25
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3		8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.94	5		9.7
	5 Cinamite of the total	Sincere	11.50	54		621
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1		1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
		Blank	4.03	2		8.06
	6 Overall Tanahing off with	Excellent	11.25	47	Opted % 76.27% 16.95% 1.69% 3.39% 1.69% 76.27% 18.64% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69% 1.86% 77.97% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.17% 11.86% 76.27% 10.186% 5.08% 8.47% 81.36% 81.36% 5.08% 84.4% 5.08% 81.36% 3.39% 11.86% 0% 6.78% 81.36% 3.39% 11.86% 0% 81.36% 3.39% 11.86% 0% 81.36% 3.39% 11.86% 0% 81.36% 3.39% 11.86% 0% 81.36% 3.39% 1.69% 3.39% 3.39% 79.66% 3.39% 0%	528.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	8		72.24
	THIN WHAT THE OF EACH	Fair	6.46	2		12.92
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Poor	1.56	0		0
	113/ SYN 1311	Can't Judge	2.03	2		4.06

Strength and Weakness No remarks found.

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

THIRWIAHANTH







Staff: DR.ANNAPOORNA L R

Subject: HUN101 - LIFESKILLS Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1 S. U. at Variables	Excellent	17.75	54	91.53%	958.5
	Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	4	6.78%	56.52
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2 Clair - IV-lanta financtions Freedom Freedom	Excellent	14.50	52	88.14%	754
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	6	10.17%	70.86
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	2 Toolow Williams to Hole	Excellent	7.50	52	88.14%	390
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	5	8.47%	28.55
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.39%	4.42
	A A S A S A S A S A S A S A S A S A S A	Less than 10	5.85	46		269.1
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2		7.32
		More than 25	1.91	2		3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	_	14.76
	5 William I and a Community of the Commu	No	4.00	51		204
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	3		2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	_	8.25
2	(T 1 12)	Excellent	9.25	50		462.5
ATT.	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	6		38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	0		0
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	_	9.48
	26 16	Just Right	4.75	57		270.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0 -		0
	. 67	Can't Judge	1.40	2	_	2.8
	3 December 1 december 2	Yes	6.88	51	91.53% 6.78% 0% 0% 1.69% 88.14% 10.17% 0% 0% 1.69% 88.14% 8.47% 0% 3.39% 77.97% 3.39% 3.39% 15.25% 86.44% 5.08% 84.75% 10.17% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0%	350.88
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5		19.45
		No	0.74	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	_	5.34
	1 Behavior of the torober	Pleasant	6.75	57		384.75
	Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	91.53% 6.78% 0% 0% 1.69% 88.14% 10.17% 0% 0% 1.69% 88.14% 8.47% 0% 3.39% 77.97% 3.39% 3.39% 15.25% 86.44% 5.08% 84.75% 10.17% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 3.39% 15.25% 86.44% 84.75% 10.17% 0% 5.08% 96.61% 0% 3.39% 1.69% 96.61% 0% 1.69%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.94	2		3.88
	5 Singapity of the taseless	Sincere	11.50	54		621
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2		2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
		Blank	4.03	1	_	
	6 Overall Teaching off	Excellent	11.25	53		4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	4		596.25
	THRUNAL CULLIUS OF THE	Fair	6.46			36.12
	LULLED DE		0.40	1	1.09%	6.46
	January Comments of the Commen	Poor	1.56	0	00/	0

Strength and Weakness

• oke

Special Remarks No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

AME THE THE WISHAM





Staff: DR.ANCHANA P BELMON

Subject: EST130(B) - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Feedback 2023 December

Session Id: TE-SN2185-Dec-2023

Batch: BTech KTU ME 2K23 A

PRINCIPAL

Trivandrum - 18

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

	Completed : 59 Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	51	86.44%	905.25
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	5	8.47%	70.65
		Fair	10.28	2	3.39%	20.56
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.69%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	51	86.44%	739.5
	2. Clarity and Oliderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	6	10.17%	70.86
		Fair	8.11	1	1.69%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.69%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	51	86.44%	382.5
	3. reachers winnighess to ricip	Good	5.71	6	10.17%	34.26
		Fair	4.04	1	1.69%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	86.44% 8.47% 3.39% 0% 1.69% 86.44% 10.17% 1.69% 0% 1.69% 1.69% 1.69%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	50		292.5
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0		0
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.08%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	10.17%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	50	84.75%	200
7	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	2	3.39%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	_	11.55
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	49		453.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	5		31.7
		Inadequate	2.50	1		2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4		12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	55		261.25
×-	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0		0
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	_	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	53	_	364.64
	5. Does me teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	2		7.78
		No	0.74	1		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	0pted % 86.44% 8.47% 3.39% 0% 1.69% 86.44% 10.17% 1.69% 86.44% 10.17% 1.69% 86.44% 10.17% 1.69% 86.44% 10.17% 1.69% 84.75% 0% 5.08% 10.17% 84.75% 3.39% 11.86% 83.05% 8.47% 1.69% 6.78% 93.22% 0% 6.78% 93.22% 0% 6.78% 94.92% 1.69%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	56		378
	The state of the seasons	Indifferent	2.78	1		2.78
- 1		Unpleasant	1.28	1	_	1.28
-		Can't Judge	1.94	1		1.94
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	56		644
	,	Not Sincere	1.33	0		0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2		10.12
1		Blank	4.03	1	Opted % 86.44% 8.47% 3.39% 0% 1.69% 86.44% 10.17% 1.69% 86.44% 10.17% 1.69% 80.44% 10.17% 1.69% 80.44% 10.17% 1.69% 84.75% 0% 5.08% 10.17% 84.75% 3.39% 11.86% 83.05% 8.47% 1.69% 6.78% 93.22% 0% 6.78% 93.22% 0% 6.78% 94.92% 1.69%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	53		596.25
		Good	9.03	4		36.12
		Fair	6.46	1		6.46
	and Westman	Poor	1.56	0		0.40
	and Weakness	an't Judge	2.03	1		2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

